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## Numeration systems

## Contents

7 Numeration systems ..... 1
7.0 Introduction ..... 1
7.1 Standard representation of numbers ..... 2
7.1.1 Representation of integers ..... 3
7.1.2 Representation of real numbers ..... 5
7.2 Beta-expansions ..... 6
7.2.1 Definitions ..... 7
7.2.2 The $\beta$-shift ..... 9
7.2.3 Classes of numbers ..... 14
$7.3 \quad U$-representations ..... 17
7.3.1 Definitions ..... 17
7.3.2 The set of normal $U$-representations ..... 19
7.3.3 Normalization in a canonical linear numeration system ..... 21
7.4 Representation of complex numbers ..... 24
7.4.1 Gaussian integers ..... 25
7.4.2 Representability of the complex plane ..... 29
Problems ..... 30
Notes ..... 33
Bibliography ..... 35

### 7.0. Introduction

This chapter deals with positional numeration systems. Numbers are seen as finite or infinite words over an alphabet of digits. A numeration system is defined by a couple composed of a base or a sequence of numbers, and of an alphabet of digits. In this chapter we study the representation of natural numbers, of real numbers and of complex numbers. We will present several generalizations of the usual notion of numeration system, which lead to interesting problems.

Properties of words representing numbers are well studied in number theory: the concepts of period, digit frequency, normality give way to important results.

Cantor sets can be defined by digital expansions.
In computer arithmetic, it is recognized that algorithmic possibilities depend on the representation of numbers. For instance, addition of two integers represented in the usual binary system, with digits 0 and 1 , takes a time proportional to the size of the data. But if these numbers are represented with signed digits 0,1 , and -1 , then addition can be realized in parallel in a time independent of the size of the data.

Since numbers are words, finite state automata are relevant tools to describe sets of number representations, and also to characterize the complexity of arithmetic operations. For instance, addition in the usual binary system is a function computable by a finite automaton, but multiplication is not.

Usual numeration systems, such that the binary and the decimal ones, are described in the first section. In fact, these systems are a particular case of all the various generalizations that will be presented in the next sections.

The second section is devoted to the study of the so-called beta-expansions, introduced by Rényi, see Notes. It consists in taking for base a real number $\beta>1$. When $\beta$ is actually an integer, we get the standard representation. When $\beta$ is not an integer, a number may have several different $\beta$-representations. A particular $\beta$-representation, playing an important role, is obtained by a greedy algorithm, and is called the $\beta$-expansion; it is the greatest in the lexicographic order. The set of $\beta$-expansions of numbers of $[0,1[$ is shift-invariant, and its closure, called the $\beta$-shift, is a symbolic dynamical system. We give several results on these topics. We do not cover the whole field, which is very lively and still growing. It has interesting connections with number theory and symbolic dynamics.

In the third section we consider the representation of integers with respect to a sequence of integers, which can be seen as a generalization of the notion of base. The most popular example is the one of Fibonacci numbers. Every positive integer can be represented in such a system with digits 0 and 1 . This field is closely related to the theory of beta-expansions.

The last section is devoted to complex numbers. Representing complex numbers as strings of digits allows to handle them without separating real and imaginary part. We show that every complex number has a representation in base $-n \pm i$, where $n$ is an integer $\geq 1$, with digits in $\left\{0, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$. This numeration system enjoys properties similar to those of the standard $\beta$-ary system.

For notations concerning automata and words the reader may want to consult Chapter 1.

### 7.1. Standard representation of numbers

In this section we will study standard numeration systems, where the base is a natural number. We will represent first the natural numbers, and then the nonnegative real numbers. The notation introduced in this section will be used in the other sections.

### 7.1.1. Representation of integers

Let $\beta \geq 2$ be an integer called the base. The (usual) $\beta$-ary representation of an integer $N \geq 0$ is a finite word $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ over the digit alphabet $A=\{0, \ldots, \beta-1\}$, and such that

$$
N=\sum_{i=0}^{k} d_{i} \beta^{i}
$$

Such a representation is unique, with the condition that $d_{k} \neq 0$. This representation is called normal, and is denoted by

$$
\langle N\rangle_{\beta}=d_{k} \cdots d_{0}
$$

most significant digit first.
The set of all the representations of the positive integers is equal to $A^{*}$.
Let us consider the addition of two integers represented in the $\beta$-ary system. Let $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ and $c_{k} \cdots c_{0}$ be two $\beta$-ary representations of respectively $N$ and $M$. It is not a restriction to suppose that the two representations have the same length, since the shortest one can be padded to the left by enough zeroes. Let us form a new word $a_{k} \cdots a_{0}$, with $a_{i}=d_{i}+c_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Obviously, $\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} \beta^{i}=N+M$, but the $a_{i}$ 's belong to the set $\{0, \ldots, 2(\beta-1)\}$. So the word $a_{k} \cdots a_{0}$ has to be transformed into an equivalent one (i.e. having the same numerical value) belonging to $A^{*}$.

More generally, let $C$ be a finite alphabet of integers, which can be positive or negative. The numerical value in base $\beta$ on $C^{*}$ is the function

$$
\pi_{\beta}: C^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

which maps a word $w=c_{n} \cdots c_{0}$ of $C^{*}$ onto $\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} \beta^{i}$. The normalization on $C^{*}$ is the partial function

$$
\nu_{C}: C^{*} \longrightarrow A^{*}
$$

that maps a word $w=c_{n} \cdots c_{0}$ of $C^{*}$ such that $N=\pi_{\beta}(w)$ is nonnegative onto its normal representation $\langle N\rangle_{\beta}$. Our aim is to prove that the normalization is computable by a finite transducer. We first prove a lemma.

Lemma 7.1.1. Let $C$ be an alphabet containing $A$. There exists a right subsequential transducer that maps a word $w$ of $C^{*}$ such that $N=\pi_{\beta}(w) \geq 0$ onto a word $v$ belonging to $A^{*}$ and such that $\pi_{\beta}(v)=N$.

Proof. Let $m=\max \{|c-a| \quad \mid c \in C, a \in A\}$, and let $\gamma=m /(\beta-1)$. First observe that, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c \in C$, by the Euclidean division there exist unique $a \in A$ and $s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $s+c=\beta s^{\prime}+a$. Furthermore, if $|s|<\gamma$, then $\left|s^{\prime}\right| \leq(|s|+|c-a|) / \beta<(\gamma+m) / \beta=\gamma$.

Consider the subsequential finite transducer $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$ over $C^{*} \times A^{*}$, where $\mathcal{A}=(Q, E, 0)$ is defined as follows. The set $Q=\{s \in \mathbb{Z}| | s \mid<\gamma\}$ is the set of possible carries, the set of edges is

$$
E=\left\{s \xrightarrow{c / a} s^{\prime} \mid s+c=\beta s^{\prime}+a\right\} .
$$



Figure 7.1. Right subsequential transducer realizing the conversion in base 2 from $\{\overline{1}, 0,1\}$ onto $\{0,1\}$

Observe that the edges are "letter-to-letter". The terminal function is defined by $\omega(s)=\langle s\rangle_{\beta}$ for $s \in Q$ such that $\pi_{\beta}(s) \geq 0$.

Now let $w=c_{n} \cdots c_{0} \in C^{*}$ and $N=\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} \beta^{i}$. Setting $s_{0}=0$, there is a unique path

$$
s_{0} \xrightarrow{c_{0} / a_{0}} s_{1} \xrightarrow{c_{1} / a_{1}} s_{2} \xrightarrow{c_{2} / a_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{c_{n-1} / a_{n-1}} s_{n} \xrightarrow{c_{n} / a_{n}} s_{n+1} .
$$

By construction $N=a_{0}+a_{1} \beta+\cdots+a_{n} \beta^{n}+s_{n+1} \beta^{n+1}$, hence the word $v=$ $\omega\left(s_{n+1}\right) a_{n} \cdots a_{0}$ has the same numerical value in base $\beta$ as $w$.

Remark that $v$ is equal to the normal representation of $N$ if and only if it does not begin with zeroes.

Example 7.1.2. Figure 7.1 gives the right subsequential transducer realizing the conversion in base 2 from the alphabet $\{-1,0,1\}$ onto $\{0,1\}$. The signed digit $(-1)$ is denoted by $\overline{1}$.

The two following results are a direct consequence of Lemma 7.1.1.

Proposition 7.1.3. In base $\beta$, for every alphabet $C$ of positive integers containing $A$, the normalization restricted to the domain $C^{*} \backslash 0 C^{*}$ is a right subsequential function.

Removing the zeroes at the beginning of a word can be realized by a left sequential transducer, so the following property holds true for any alphabet.

Proposition 7.1.4. In base $\beta$, for every alphabet $C$ containing $A$, the normalization on $C^{*}$ is computable by a finite transducer.

Corollary 7.1.5. In base $\beta$, addition and subtraction (with possibly zeroes ahead) are right subsequential functions.

Proof. Take in Lemma 7.1.1 $C=\{0, \ldots, 2(\beta-1)\}$ for addition, and $C=$ $\{-(\beta-1), \ldots, \beta-1\}$ for subtraction.
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One proves easily that multiplication by a fixed integer is a right subsequential function, and that division by a fixed integer is a left subsequential function, see the Problems Section. On the other hand, the following result shows that the power of functions computable by finite transducers is quite reduced.

Proposition 7.1.6. In base $\beta$, multiplication is not computable by a finite transducer.

Proof. It is enough to show that the squaring function $\psi: A^{*} \longrightarrow A^{*}$ which maps $\langle N\rangle_{\beta}$ onto $\left\langle N^{2}\right\rangle_{\beta}$ is not computable by a finite transducer. Take for instance $\beta=2$, and consider $\left\langle 2^{n}-1\right\rangle_{2}=1^{n}$. Then $\psi\left(1^{n}\right)=\left\langle 2^{2 n}-2^{n+1}+1\right\rangle_{2}=$ $1^{n-1} 0^{n} 1$. Thus the image by $\psi$ of the set $\left\{1^{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ which is recognizable by a finite automaton, is the set $\left\{1^{n-1} 0^{n} 1 \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ which is not recognizable, thus $\psi$ cannot be computed by a finite transducer.

### 7.1.2. Representation of real numbers

Let $\beta \geq 2$ be an integer and set $A=\{0, \ldots, \beta-1\}$. A $\beta$-ary representation of a nonnegative real number $x$ is an infinite sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \leq k}$ of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
x=\sum_{i \leq k} x_{i} \beta^{i}
$$

This representation is unique, and said to be normal if it does not end by $(\beta-1)^{\omega}$, and if $x_{k} \neq 0$ when $x \geq 1$. It is traditionally denoted by

$$
\langle x\rangle_{\beta}=x_{k} \cdots x_{0} \cdot x_{-1} x_{-2} \cdots
$$

If $x<1$, then there exists some $i \geq 0$ such that $x<1 / \beta^{i}$. We then put $x_{-1}, \ldots, x_{-i+1}=0$. The set of $\beta$-ary expansions of numbers $\geq 1$ is equal to $(A \backslash 0)\left(A^{\mathbb{N}} \backslash A^{*}(\beta-1)^{\omega}\right)$, the one of numbers of $[0,1]$ is $A^{\mathbb{N}} \backslash A^{*}(\beta-1)^{\omega}$. The set $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the set of all $\beta$-ary representations (not necessarily normal).

The word $x_{k} \cdots x_{0}$ is the integer part of $x$ and the infinite word $x_{-1} x_{-2} \cdots$ is the fractional part of $x$. Note that the natural numbers are exactly those having a zero fractional part (compare with the representation of complex numbers in 7.4.1).

If $\langle x\rangle_{\beta}=x_{k} \cdots x_{0} \cdot x_{-1} x_{-2} \cdots$, then $x / \beta^{k+1}<1$, and by shifting we obtain that

$$
\left\langle x / \beta^{k+1}\right\rangle_{\beta}=x_{k} \cdots x_{0} x_{-1} x_{-2} \cdots
$$

thus from now on we consider only numbers from the interval [0, 1]. When $x \in[0,1]$, we will change our notation for indices and denote $\langle x\rangle_{\beta}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$.

Let $C$ be a finite alphabet of integers, which can be positive or negative. The numerical value in base $\beta$ on $C^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the function

$$
\pi_{\beta}: C^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

which maps a word $w=\left(c_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ of $C^{\mathbb{N}}$ onto $\sum_{i \geq 1} c_{i} \beta^{-i}$. The normalization on $C^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the partial function

$$
\nu_{C}: C^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow A^{\mathbb{N}}
$$

that maps a word $w=\left(c_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ such that $x=\pi_{\beta}(w)$ belongs to [0,1] onto its $\beta$-ary expansion $\langle x\rangle_{\beta} \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \backslash A^{*}(\beta-1)^{\omega}$.

Proposition 7.1.7. For every alphabet $C$ containing $A$, the normalization on $C^{\mathbb{N}}$ is computable by a finite transducer.

Proof. First we construct a finite transducer $\mathcal{B}$ where edges are the reverse of the edges of the transducer $\mathcal{A}$ defined in the proof of Lemma 7.1.1. Let $\mathcal{B}=(Q, F, 0, Q)$ with set of edges

$$
F=\{t \xrightarrow{c / a} s \mid s \xrightarrow{c / a} t \in E\}
$$

Every state is terminal.
Let

$$
s_{0} \xrightarrow{c_{1} / a_{1}} s_{1} \xrightarrow{c_{2} / a_{2}} s_{2} \xrightarrow{c_{3} / a_{3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{c_{n} / a_{n}} s_{n}
$$

be a path in $\mathcal{B}$ starting in $s_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\frac{c_{1}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{c_{n}}{\beta^{n}}=\frac{a_{1}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{a_{n}}{\beta^{n}}-\frac{s_{n}}{\beta^{n}} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is sequential, the automaton $\mathcal{B}$ is unambiguous, that is, given an input word $\left(c_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1} \in C^{\mathbb{N}}$, there is a unique infinite path in $\mathcal{B}$ starting in 0 and labelled by $\left(c_{i}, a_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ in $(C \times A)^{\mathbb{N}}$, and such that $\sum_{i \geq 1} c_{i} \beta^{i}=\sum_{i \geq 1} a_{i} \beta^{i}$, because for each $n,\left|s_{n}\right|<\gamma$.

To end the proof it remains to show that the function which, given a word in $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, transforms it into an equivalent word not ending by $(\beta-1)^{\omega}$, is computable by a finite transducer, and this is clear from the fact that $A^{\mathbb{N}} \times\left(A^{\mathbb{N}} \backslash A^{*}(\beta-1)^{\omega}\right)$ is a rational subset of $A^{\mathbb{N}} \times A^{\mathbb{N}}$ (see Chapter 1 ).

Corollary 7.1.8. Addition/subtraction, multiplication/division by a fixed integer of real numbers in base $\beta$ are computable by a finite transducer.

Example 7.1.9. Figure 7.2 gives the finite transducer realizing non normalized addition (meaning that the result can end by the improper suffix $1^{\omega}$ ) of real numbers on the interval $[0,1]$ in base 2.

### 7.2. Beta-expansions

We now consider numeration systems where the base is a real number $\beta>$ 1. Representations of real numbers in such systems were introduced by Rényi under the name of $\beta$-expansions. They arise from the orbits of a piecewisemonotone transformation of the unit interval $T_{\beta}: x \mapsto \beta x(\bmod 1)$, see below. Such transformations were extensively studied in ergodic theory and symbolic dynamics.
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Figure 7.2. Finite transducer realizing non normalized addition of real numbers in base 2

### 7.2.1. Definitions

Let the base $\beta>1$ be a real number. Let $x$ be a real number in the interval $[0,1]$. A representation in base $\beta$ (or a $\beta$-representation) of $x$ is an infinite word $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ such that

$$
x=\sum_{i \geq 1} x_{i} \beta^{-i}
$$

A particular $\beta$-representation - called the $\beta$-expansion - can be computed by the "greedy algorithm": denote by $\lfloor y\rfloor$ and $\{y\}$ the integer part and the fractional part of a number $y$. Set $r_{0}=x$ and let for $i \geq 1, x_{i}=\left\lfloor\beta r_{i-1}\right\rfloor$, $r_{i}=\left\{\beta r_{i-1}\right\}$. Then $x=\sum_{i>1} x_{i} \beta^{-i}$.

The $\beta$-expansion of $x$ will be denoted by $d_{\beta}(x)$.
An equivalent definition is obtained by using the $\beta$-transformation of the unit interval which is the mapping

$$
T_{\beta}: x \mapsto \beta x(\bmod 1)
$$

Then $d_{\beta}(x)=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ if and only if $x_{i}=\left\lfloor\beta T_{\beta}^{i-1}(x)\right\rfloor$.
Let $x$ be any real number greater than 1 . There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta^{k} \leq x<\beta^{k+1}$. Hence $0 \leq x / \beta^{k+1}<1$, thus it is enough to represent numbers from the interval $[0,1]$, since by shifting we will get the representation of any positive real number.

Example 7.2.1. Let $\beta=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ be the golden ratio. For $x=3-\sqrt{5}$ we have $d_{\beta}(x)=10010^{\omega}$.

If $\beta$ is not an integer, the digits $x_{i}$ obtained by the greedy algorithm are elements of the alphabet $A=\{0, \cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$, called the canonical alphabet.

When $\beta$ is an integer, the $\beta$-expansion of a number $x$ of $[0,1[$ is exactly the standard $\beta$-ary expansion, i.e. $d_{\beta}(x)=\langle x\rangle_{\beta}$, and the digits $x_{i}$ belong to $\{0, \cdots, \beta-1\}$. However, for $x=1$ there is a difference: $\langle 1\rangle_{\beta}=1$. but $d_{\beta}(1)=\cdot \beta$. As we shall see later, the $\beta$-expansion of 1 plays a key role in this theory.

Another characterization of a $\beta$-expansion is the following one.

Lemma 7.2.2. An infinite sequence of nonnegative integers $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i>1}$ is the $\beta$ expansion of a real number $x$ of $[0,1[$ (resp. of 1 ) if and only if for every $i \geq 1$ (resp. $i \geq 2$ ), $x_{i} \beta^{-i}+x_{i+1} \beta^{-i-1}+\cdots<\beta^{-i+1}$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq x<1$ and let $d_{\beta}(x)=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$. By construction, for $i \geq 1$, $r_{i-1}=x_{i} / \beta+x_{i-1} / \beta^{2}+\cdots<1$, thus the result follows.

A real number may have several $\beta$-representations. However, the $\beta$-expansion, obtained by the greedy algorithm, is characterized by the following property.

Proposition 7.2.3. The $\beta$-expansion of a real number $x$ of $[0,1]$ is the greatest of all the $\beta$-representations of $x$ with respect to the lexicographic order.

Proof. Let $d_{\beta}(x)=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and let $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be another $\beta$-representation of $x$. Suppose that $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}<\left(s_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$, then there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $x_{k}<$ $s_{k}$ and $x_{1} \cdots x_{k-1}=s_{1} \cdots s_{k-1}$. From $\sum_{i \geq k} x_{i} \beta^{-i}=\sum_{i \geq k} s_{i} \beta^{-i}$ one gets $\sum_{i \geq k+1} x_{i} \beta^{-i} \geq \beta^{-k}+\sum_{i \geq k+1} s_{i} \beta^{-i}$, which is impossible since by Lemma 7.2 .2 $\sum_{i \geq k+1} x_{i} \beta^{-i}<\beta^{-k}$.

Example 7.2 .1 (continued). Let $\beta$ be the golden ratio. The $\beta$-expansion of $x=3-\sqrt{5}$ is equal to $10010^{\omega}$. Different $\beta$-representations of $x$ are $01110^{\omega}$, or $100(01)^{\omega}$ for instance.

As in the usual numeration systems, the order between real numbers is given by the lexicographic order on $\beta$-expansions.

Proposition 7.2.4. Let $x$ and $y$ be two real numbers from [0,1]. Then $x<y$ if and only if $d_{\beta}(x)<d_{\beta}(y)$.

Proof. Let $d_{\beta}(x)=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and let $d_{\beta}(y)=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$, and suppose that $d_{\beta}(x)<$ $d_{\beta}(y)$. There exists $k \geq 1$ such that $x_{k}<y_{k}$ and $x_{1} \cdots x_{k-1}=y_{1} \cdots y_{k-1}$. Hence $x \leq y_{1} \beta^{-1}+\cdots+y_{k-1} \beta^{-k+1}+\left(y_{k}-1\right) \beta^{-k}+x_{k+1} \beta^{-k-1}+x_{k+2} \beta^{-k-2}+\cdots<y$ since $x_{k+1} \beta^{-k-1}+x_{k+2} \beta^{-k-2}+\cdots<\beta^{-k}$. The converse is immediate.

If a representation ends in infinitely many zeros, like $v 0^{\omega}$, the ending zeros are omitted and the representation is said to be finite. Remark that the $\beta$ expansion of $x \in[0,1]$ is finite if and only if $T_{\beta}^{i}(x)=0$ for some $i$, and it is eventually periodic if and only if the set $\left\{T_{\beta}^{i}(x) \mid i \geq 1\right\}$ is finite. Numbers $\beta$ such that $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic are called $\beta$-numbers and those such that $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite are called simple $\beta$-numbers.

Remark 7.2.5. The $\beta$-expansion of 1 is never purely periodic.
Indeed, suppose that $d_{\beta}(1)$ is purely periodic, $d_{\beta}(1)=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right)^{\omega}$, with $n$ minimal, $a_{i} \in A$. Then $1=a_{1} \beta^{-1}+\cdots+a_{n} \beta^{-n}+\beta^{-n}$, which means that $a_{1} \cdots a_{n-1}\left(a_{n}+1\right)$ is a $\beta$-representation of 1 , and $a_{1} \cdots a_{n-1}\left(a_{n}+1\right)>d_{\beta}(1)$, which is impossible.
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Example 7.2.6. 1. Let $\beta$ be the golden ratio $(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$. The expansion of 1 is finite, equal to $d_{\beta}(1)=11$.
2. Let $\beta=(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2$. The expansion of 1 is eventually periodic, equal to $d_{\beta}(1)=21^{\omega}$.
3. Let $\beta=3 / 2$. Then $d_{\beta}(1)=101000001 \cdots$. We shall see later that it is aperiodic.

### 7.2.2. The $\beta$-shift

Recall that the set $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is endowed with the lexicographic order, the product topology, and the (one-sided) shift $\sigma$, defined by $\sigma\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}\right)=\left(x_{i+1}\right)_{i \geq 1}$. Denote by $D_{\beta}$ the set of $\beta$-expansions of numbers of $[0,1[$. It is a shift-invariant subset of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. The $\beta$-shift $S_{\beta}$ is the closure of $D_{\beta}$ and it is a subshift of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. When $\beta$ is an integer, $S_{\beta}$ is the full $\beta$-shift $A^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The greedy algorithm computing the $\beta$-expansion can be rephrased as follows.

Lemma 7.2.7. The identity

$$
d_{\beta} \circ T_{\beta}=\sigma \circ d_{\beta}
$$

holds on the interval [0, 1].
Proof. Let $x \in[0,1]$, and let $d_{\beta}(x)=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$. Then $T_{\beta}(x)=\sum_{i \geq 1} x_{i} \beta^{-i}$, and the result follows.

In the case where the $\beta$-expansion of 1 is finite, there is a special representation playing an important role. Let us introduce the following notation. Let $d_{\beta}(1)=\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and set $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=d_{\beta}(1)$ if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is infinite and $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=$ $\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{m-1}\left(t_{m}-1\right)\right)^{\omega}$ if $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m-1} t_{m}$ is finite.

When $\beta$ is an integer, $\beta$-representations ending by the infinite word $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$ are the "improper" representations.

Example 7.2.8. Let $\beta=2$, then $d_{\beta}(1)=2$ and $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=1^{\omega}$.
For $\beta=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2, d_{\beta}(1)=11$ and $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=(10)^{\omega}$.
The set $D_{\beta}$ is characterized by the expansion of 1 , as shown by the following result below. Notice that the sets of finite factors of $D_{\beta}$ and of $S_{\beta}$ are the same, and that $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$ is the supremum of $S_{\beta}$, but that, in case $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite, $d_{\beta}(1)$ is not an element of $S_{\beta}$.

Theorem 7.2.9. Let $\beta>1$ be a real number, and let $s$ be an infinite sequence of nonnegative integers. The sequence $s$ belongs to $D_{\beta}$ if and only if for all $p \geq 0$

$$
\sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)
$$

and $s$ belongs to $S_{\beta}$ if and only if for all $p \geq 0$

$$
\sigma^{p}(s) \leq d_{\beta}^{*}(1)
$$

Proof. First suppose that $s=\left(s_{i}\right)_{i>1}$ belongs to $D_{\beta}$, then there exists $x$ in [0, $1[$ such that $s=d_{\beta}(x)$. By Lemma 7.2 .7 , for every $p \geq 0, \sigma^{p} \circ d_{\beta}(x)=d_{\beta} \circ T_{\beta}^{p}(x)$. Since $T_{\beta}^{p}(x)<1$ and $d_{\beta}$ is a strictly increasing function (Proposition 7.2.4), $\sigma^{p} \circ d_{\beta}(x)=\sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}(1)$.
In the case where $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$ is finite, suppose there exists a $p \geq 0$ such that $\sigma^{p}(s) \geq d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$. Since $\sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}(1)$, we get $s_{p+1}=t_{1}, \ldots, s_{p+m-1}=t_{m-1}$, $s_{p+m}=t_{m}-1$. Iterating this process, we see that $\sigma^{p}(s)=d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$, which does not belong to $D_{\beta}$, a contradiction.

Conversely, let $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and suppose that for all $p \geq 0, \sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$. By induction, let us show that for all $r \geq 1$, for all $i \geq 0$,

$$
s_{p+1} \cdots s_{p+r}<d_{i+1} \cdots d_{i+r} \Rightarrow \frac{s_{p+1}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{s_{p+r}}{\beta^{r}}<\frac{d_{i+1}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{d_{i+r}}{\beta^{r}}
$$

This is obviously satisfied for $r=1$.
Suppose that $s_{p+1} \cdots s_{p+r+1}<d_{i+1} \cdots d_{i+r+1}$.
First assume that $s_{p+1}=d_{i+1}$, then $s_{p+2} \cdots s_{p+r+1}<d_{i+2} \cdots d_{i+r+1}$. By induction hypothesis,

$$
\frac{s_{p+2}}{\beta^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{s_{p+r+1}}{\beta^{r+1}}<\frac{d_{i+2}}{\beta^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{d_{i+r+1}}{\beta^{r+1}}
$$

and the result follows.
Next, suppose that $s_{p+1}<d_{i+1}$. Since for all $p \geq 0, \sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$ then $s_{p+2} \cdots s_{p+r+1} \leq d_{1} \cdots d_{r}$, thus

$$
\frac{s_{p+1}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{s_{p+r+1}}{\beta^{r+1}} \leq \frac{d_{i+1}-1}{\beta}+\frac{d_{1}}{\beta^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{d_{r}}{\beta^{r+1}}<\frac{d_{i+1}}{\beta}
$$

since $d_{1} / \beta^{2}+\cdots+d_{r} / \beta^{r+1}<1 / \beta$.
Thus for all $p \geq 0$, for all $i \geq 0$,

$$
\sum_{r \geq 1} s_{p+r} \beta^{-r} \leq \sum_{r \geq 1} d_{i+r} \beta^{-r}
$$

In particular for $i=1, \sum_{r \geq 1} s_{p+r} \beta^{-r} \leq \sum_{r \geq 1} d_{r+1} \beta^{-r}<1$ if $\beta$ is not an integer, and the result follows by Lemma 7.2.2.

If $\beta$ is an integer then $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=(\beta-1)^{\omega}$. If for all $p \geq 0, \sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$, then every letter of $s$ is smaller than or equal to $\beta-1$ and $s$ does not end by $(\beta-1)^{\omega}$, therefore $s$ belongs to $D_{\beta}$.

For the $\beta$-shift, we have the following situation. A sequence $s$ belongs to $\overline{D_{\beta}}$ if and only if for each $n \geq 1$ there exists a word $v^{(n)}$ of $D_{\beta}$ such that $s_{1} \cdots s_{n}$ is a prefix of $v^{(n)}$. Hence, $\bar{s}$ belongs to $S_{\beta}$ if and only if for every $p \geq 0$, for every $n \geq 1, \sigma^{p}\left(s_{1} \cdots s_{n} 0^{\omega}\right)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$, or equivalently if $\sigma^{p}(s) \leq d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$.

From this result follows the following characterization : a sequence is the $\beta$-expansion of 1 for a certain number $\beta$ if and only if it is greater than all its shifted sequences.
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Corollary 7.2.10. Let $s=\left(s_{i}\right)_{i>1}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers with $s_{1} \geq 1$ and for $i \geq 2, s_{i} \leq s_{1}$, and which is different from $10^{\omega}$. Then there exists a unique real number $\beta>0$ such that $\sum_{i \geq 1} s_{i} \beta^{-i}=1$. Furthermore, $s$ is the $\beta$-expansion of 1 if and only if for every $n \geq 1, \sigma^{n}(s)<s$.

Proof. Let $f$ be the formal series defined by $f(z)=\sum_{i \geq 1} s_{i} z^{i}$, and denote by $\rho$ its radius of convergence. Since $0 \leq s_{i} \leq s_{1}$, we get $\bar{\rho} \geq 1 /\left(s_{1}+1\right)$. Since for $0<z<\rho$ the function $f$ is continuous and increasing, and since $f(0)=0$ and $f(z)>1$ for $z$ sufficient close to $\rho$, it follows that the equation $f(z)=1$ has a unique solution. If $\beta>1$ exists such that $f(1 / \beta)=1$, we get that $s_{1} / \beta \leq f(1 / \beta) \leq s_{1} /(\beta-1)$, thus $\beta$ must be between $s_{1}$ and $s_{1}+1$. On the other hand, $f\left(1 /\left(s_{1}+1\right)\right) \leq s_{1} / s_{1}=1$. If $s_{1} \geq 2, f\left(1 / s_{1}\right) \geq 1$. If $s_{1}=1$ and if the $s_{i}$ 's are eventually 0 , then $f\left(1 / s_{1}\right) \geq 1$, otherwise $\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} f(z)=+\infty$. Thus in any case there exists a real $\beta \in\left[s_{1}, s_{1}+1\right]$ such that $f(1 / \beta)=1$.

Now we make the following hypothesis $(\mathrm{H})$ : for all $n \geq 1, \sigma^{n}(s)<s$. Suppose that the $\beta$-expansion of 1 is $d_{\beta}(1)=t \neq s$. Since $s$ is a $\beta$-representation of 1 , $s<t$. Hence, for each $n \geq 1, \sigma^{n}(s)<s<d_{\beta}(1)$. If $d_{\beta}(1)$ is infinite, by Theorem 7.2.9, $s$ belongs to $D_{\beta}$, a contradiction.
If $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite, say $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$, either $s<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$, and as above we get that $s$ is in $D_{\beta}$, or $d_{\beta}^{*}(1) \leq s<d_{\beta}(1)$. In fact, $s$ cannot be purely periodic because of hypothesis $(\mathrm{H})$, thus it is different from $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$. Thus $s$ is necessarily of the form $\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{m-1}\left(t_{m}-1\right)\right)^{k} t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$ for some $k \geq 1$. So $s_{k m+1}=t_{1}, \ldots$, $s_{k m+m}=t_{m}$, and $\sigma^{k m}(s)>s$ because $s_{m}=t_{m}-1$, contradicting hypothesis (H). Hence the $\beta$-expansion of 1 is $s$.

Conversely, suppose that $s=d_{\beta}(1)$ for some $\beta>1$. From Theorem 7.2.9, for every $n \geq 1, \sigma^{n}(s)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$. If $d_{\beta}(1)$ is infinite, $d_{\beta}(1)=d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$. If $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite, $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)<d_{\beta}(1)$.

Let us recall some definitions on symbolic dynamical systems or subshifts (see Chapter 1 Section ??). Let $S \subseteq A^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a subshift, and let $I(S)=A^{+} \backslash F(S)$ be the set of factors avoided by $S$. Denote by $X(S)$ the set of words of $I(S)$ which have no proper factor in $I(S)$. The subshift $S$ is of finite type iff the set $X(S)$ is finite. The subshift $S$ is sofic iff $X(S)$ is a rational set. It is equivalent to say that $F(S)$ is recognized by a finite automaton. The subshift $S$ is said to be coded if there exists a prefix code $Y \subset A^{*}$ such that $F(S)=F\left(Y^{*}\right)$, or equivalently if $S$ is the closure of $Y^{\omega}$.

To the $\beta$-shift a prefix code $Y=Y_{\beta}$ is associated as follows. It is the set of words which, for each length, are strictly smaller than the prefix of $d_{\beta}(1)$ of same length, more precisely: if $d_{\beta}(1)=\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ is infinite, set $Y=\left\{t_{1} \cdots t_{n-1} a \mid\right.$ $\left.0 \leq a<t_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$, with the convention that if $n=1, t_{1} \cdots t_{n-1}=\varepsilon$. If $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$, let $Y=\left\{t_{1} \cdots t_{n-1} a \mid 0 \leq a<t_{n}, 1 \leq n \leq m\right\}$.

Proposition 7.2.11. The $\beta$-shift $S_{\beta}$ is coded by the code $Y$.
Proof. First if $d_{\beta}(1)=\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ is infinite, let us show that $D_{\beta}=Y^{\omega}$. Let $s \in D_{\beta}$.

By Theorem 7.2.9, $s<d_{\beta}(1)$, thus can be written as $s=t_{1} \cdots t_{n_{1}-1} a_{n_{1}} v_{1}$, with $a_{n_{1}}<t_{n_{1}}$ and $v_{1}<d_{\beta}(1)$. Iterating this process, we see that $s \in$ $Y^{\omega}$. Conversely, let $s=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots \in Y^{\omega}$, with $u_{i}=t_{1} \cdots t_{n_{i}-1} a_{n_{i}}, a_{n_{i}}<t_{n_{i}}$. Then $s<d_{\beta}(1)$. For each $p \geq 0, \sigma^{p}(s)$ begins with a word of the form $t_{j_{p}} t_{j_{p}+1} \cdots t_{j_{p}+r-1} b_{j_{p}+r}$ with $b_{j_{p}+r}<t_{j_{p}+r}$, thus $\sigma^{p}(s)<\sigma^{j_{p}-1}\left(d_{\beta}(1)\right)<d_{\beta}(1)$.

Next, if $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$, is finite, we claim that $Y^{\omega}=S_{\beta}$. First, let $s \in S_{\beta}$. By Theorem 7.2.9, $s \leq d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$, thus $s=t_{1} \cdots t_{n_{1}-1} a_{n_{1}} v_{1}$, with $n_{1} \leq m, a_{n_{1}}<t_{n_{1}}$ and $v_{1} \leq d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$. Iterating the process we get $s \in S_{\beta}$. Conversely, let $s \in Y^{\omega}$, $s=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots$ with $u_{i}=t_{1} \cdots t_{n_{i}-1} a_{n_{i}}, n_{i} \leq m$. As above, one gets that, for each $p \geq 0, \sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)$.

We now compute the topological entropy of the $\beta$-shift

$$
h\left(S_{\beta}\right)=-\log \left(\rho_{F\left(S_{\beta}\right)}\right)
$$

(see ?? for definitions and notations). In the case where the $\beta$-shift is sofic, by Theorem ?? the entropy $h\left(S_{\beta}\right)$ can be shown to be equal to $\log \beta$. We show below that the same result holds true for any kind of $\beta$-shift.

Proposition 7.2.12. The topological entropy of the $\beta$-shift is equal to $\log \beta$.
Proof. For $n \geq 1$, the number of words of length $n$ of $Y$ is clearly equal to $t_{n}$, thus the generating series of $Y$ is equal to

$$
f_{Y}(z)=\sum_{n \geq 1} t_{n} z^{n}
$$

By Corollary 7.2.10, $\beta^{-1}$ is the unique positive solution of $f_{Y}(z)=1$. Since $Y$ is a code, by Lemma ?? $\rho_{Y^{*}}=\beta^{-1}$. It is thus enough to show that $\rho_{Y^{*}}=\rho_{F\left(S_{\beta}\right)}$.

Let $p_{n}$ be the number of factors of length $n$ of the elements of $S_{\beta}$ and let

$$
f_{F\left(S_{\beta}\right)}=\sum_{n \geq 0} p_{n} z^{n}
$$

Let $c_{n}$ be the number of words of length $n$ of $Y^{*}$, and let

$$
f_{F\left(Y^{*}\right)}=\sum_{n \geq 0} c_{n} z^{n}
$$

Since any word of $Y^{*}$ is in $F\left(S_{\beta}\right)$, we have $c_{n} \leq p_{n}$. On the other hand, let $w$ be a word of length $n$ in $F\left(S_{\beta}\right)$. By Proposition $7.2 .11, w$ can be uniquely written as $w=u_{i} t_{1} \cdots t_{i}$, where $u_{i} \in Y^{*},\left|u_{i}\right|=n-i$, and $0 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $p_{n}=c_{n}+\cdots+c_{0}$. Hence the series $f_{F\left(S_{\beta}\right)}$ and $f_{Y^{*}}$ have the same radius of convergence, and the result is proved.

We now show that the nature of the subshift as a symbolic dynamical system is entirely determined by the $\beta$-expansion of 1 .
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Theorem 7.2.13. The $\beta$-shift $S_{\beta}$ is sofic if and only if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic.

Proof. Suppose that $d_{\beta}(1)$ is infinite eventually periodic

$$
d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{\omega}
$$

with $N$ and $p$ minimal. We use the classical construction of minimal finite automata by right congruent classes (see Chapter 1). Let $F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ be the set of finite factors of $D_{\beta}$. We construct an automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ with $N+p$ states $q_{1}, \ldots$, $q_{N+p}$, where $q_{i}, i \geq 2$, represents the right class $\left[t_{1} \cdots t_{i-1}\right]_{F\left(D_{\beta}\right)}$ and $q_{1}$ stands for $[\varepsilon]_{F\left(D_{\beta}\right)}$. For each $i, 1 \leq i<N+p$, there is an edge labelled $t_{i}$ from $q_{i}$ to $q_{i+1}$. There is an edge labelled $t_{N+p}$ from $q_{N+p}$ to $q_{N+1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq N+p$, there are edges labelled by $0,1, \ldots, t_{i}-1$ from $q_{i}$ to $q_{1}$. Let $q_{1}$ be the only initial state, and all states be terminal. That $F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ is precisely the set recognized by the automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ follows from Theorem 7.2.9. Remark that, when the $\beta$ expansion of 1 happens to be finite, say $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$, the same construction applies with $N=m, p=0$ and all edges from $q_{m}$ (labelled by $0,1, \ldots, t_{m}-1$ ) leading to $q_{1}$.

Suppose now that $d_{\beta}(1)=\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ is not eventually periodic nor finite. There exists an infinite sequence of indexes $i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}<\cdots$ such that the sequences $t_{i_{k}} t_{i_{k}+1} t_{i_{k}+2} \cdots$ be all different for all $k \geq 1$. Thus for all pairs $\left(i_{j}, i_{\ell}\right), j, \ell \geq 1$, there exists $p \geq 0$ such that, for instance, $t_{i_{j}+p}<t_{i_{\ell}+p}$ and $t_{i_{j}} \cdots t_{i_{j}+p-1}=$ $t_{i_{\ell}} \cdots t_{i_{\ell}+p-1}=w$ (with the convention that, when $p=0, w=\varepsilon$ ). We have that $t_{1} \cdots t_{i_{j}-1} w t_{i_{j}+p} \in F\left(D_{\beta}\right), t_{1} \cdots t_{i_{\ell}-1} w t_{i_{\ell}+p} \in F\left(D_{\beta}\right), t_{1} \cdots t_{i_{\ell}-1} w t_{i_{j}+p} \in$ $F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$, but $t_{1} \cdots t_{i_{j}-1} w t_{i_{\ell}+p}$ does not belong to $F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$. Hence $t_{1} \cdots t_{i_{j}}$ and $t_{1} \cdots t_{i_{\ell}}$ are not right congruent modulo $F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$. The number of right congruence classes is thus infinite, and $F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ is not recognizable by a finite automaton.

Example 7.2.14. For $\beta=(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2, d_{\beta}(1)=21^{\omega}$, and the $\beta$-shift is sofic.
We have a similar result when the $\beta$-expansion of 1 is finite.
Theorem 7.2.15. The $\beta$-shift $S_{\beta}$ is of finite type if and only if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite.
Proof. Let us suppose that $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$ is finite and let

$$
Z=\bigcup_{2 \leq i \leq m-1}\left\{u \in A^{i} \mid u>t_{1} \cdots t_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{u \in A^{m} \mid u \geq t_{1} \cdots t_{m}\right\}
$$

Clearly $Z \subseteq A^{+} \backslash F\left(S_{\beta}\right)$. The set $X\left(S_{\beta}\right)$ of words forbidden in $S_{\beta}$ which are minimal for the factor order is a subset of $Z$. Since $Z$ is finite, $X\left(S_{\beta}\right)$ is finite, and thus $S_{\beta}$ is of finite type.

Conversely, suppose that the $\beta$-shift is of finite type. It is thus sofic, and by Theorem $7.2 .13, d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic. Suppose that $d_{\beta}(1)$ is not
finite, $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{\omega}$ with $N \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$ minimal, and $t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p} \neq 0^{p}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z= & \left\{t_{1} \cdots t_{j-1}\left(t_{j}+h_{j}\right) \mid 2 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq h_{j} \leq t_{1}-t_{j}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{k} t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+j-1}\left(t_{N+j}+h_{N+j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\mid k \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq h_{N+j} \leq t_{1}-t_{N+j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $Z \subseteq A^{+} \backslash F\left(S_{\beta}\right)$.
Case 1. Suppose there exists $1 \leq j \leq p$ such that $t_{j}>t_{N+j}$ and $t_{1}=t_{N+1}, \ldots$, $t_{j-1}=t_{N+j-1}$. For $k \geq 0$ fixed, let $w^{(k)}=t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{k} t_{1} \cdots t_{j} \in Z$. We have $t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{k} t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+j-1} \in F\left(S_{\beta}\right)$. On the other hand, for $n \geq 2, t_{n} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{k}$ is strictly smaller in the lexicographic order than the prefix of $d_{\beta}(1)$ of same length (the inequality is strict, since the $t_{i}$ 's are not all equal for $1 \leq i \leq N+p)$, thus $t_{n} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{k} t_{1} \cdots t_{j} \in$ $F\left(S_{\beta}\right)$. Hence any strict factor of $w^{(k)}$ is in $F\left(S_{\beta}\right)$. Therefore for any $k \geq 0$, $w^{(k)} \in X\left(S_{\beta}\right)$, and $X\left(S_{\beta}\right)$ is thus infinite: the $\beta$-shift is not of finite type.
Case 2. No such $j$ exists, then $d_{\beta}(1)=\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\right)^{\omega}$, which is impossible by Remark 7.2.5.

Example 7.2.16. For $\beta=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, the $\beta$-shift is of finite type, it is the golden mean shift described in Example ??.

### 7.2.3. Classes of numbers

Recall that an algebraic integer is a root of a monic polynomial with integral coefficients. An algebraic integer $\beta>1$ is called a Pisot number if all its Galois conjugates have modulus less than one. It is a Salem number if all its conjugates have modulus $\leq 1$ and at least one conjugate has modulus one. It is a Perron number if all its conjugates have modulus less than $\beta$.

Example 7.2.17. 1. Every integer is a Pisot number. The golden ratio $(1+$ $\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and its square $(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ are Pisot numbers, with minimal polynomial respectively $X^{2}-X-1$ and $X^{2}-3 X+1$.
2. A rational number which is not an integer is never an algebraic integer.
3. $(5+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is a Perron number which is neither Pisot nor Salem.

The most important result linking $\beta$-shifts and numbers is the following one.
Theorem 7.2.18. If $\beta$ is a Pisot number then the $\beta$-shift $S_{\beta}$ is sofic.
This result is a consequence of a more general result on $\beta$-expansions of numbers of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ when $\beta$ is a Pisot number. It is a partial generalization of the well known fact that, when $\beta$ is an integer, numbers having an eventually periodic $\beta$-expansion are the rational numbers of $[0,1]$ (see Problems Section).

Proposition 7.2.19. If $\beta$ is a Pisot number then every number of $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap[0,1]$ has an eventually periodic $\beta$-expansion.
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Proof. Let $P(X)=X^{d}-a_{1} X^{d-1}-\cdots-a_{d}$ be the minimal polynomial of $\beta=\beta_{1}$ and denote by $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{d}$ the conjugates of $\beta$. Let $x$ be arbitrarily fixed in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap[0,1]$. It can be expressed as

$$
x=q^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_{i} \beta^{i}
$$

with $q$ and $p_{i}$ in $\mathbb{Z}, q>0$ as small as possible in order to have uniqueness.
Let $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be the $\beta$-expansion of $x$, and denote by

$$
r_{n}=r_{n}^{(1)}=r_{n}(x)=\frac{x_{n+1}}{\beta}+\frac{x_{n+2}}{\beta^{2}}+\cdots=\beta^{n}\left(x-\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \beta^{-k}\right)=T_{\beta}^{n}(x)<1
$$

For $2 \leq j \leq d$, let

$$
r_{n}^{(j)}=r_{n}^{(j)}(x)=\beta_{j}^{n}\left(q^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_{i} \beta_{j}^{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \beta_{j}^{-k}\right)
$$

Let $\eta=\max _{2 \leq j \leq d}\left|\beta_{j}\right|<1$ since $\beta$ is a Pisot number. Since $x_{k} \leq\lfloor\beta\rfloor$ we get

$$
\left|r_{n}^{(j)}\right| \leq q^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\left|p_{i}\right| \eta^{n+i}+\lfloor\beta\rfloor \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \eta^{k}
$$

and, since $\eta<1, \max _{1 \leq j \leq d} \sup _{n}\left|r_{n}^{(j)}\right|<+\infty$.
We need a technical result. Set $R_{n}=\left(r_{n}^{(1)}, \cdots, r_{n}^{(d)}\right)$ and let $B$ be the matrix $B=\left(\beta_{j}^{-i}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$.

Lemma 7.2.20. Let $x=q^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_{i} \beta^{i}$. For every $n \geq 0$, there exists a unique d-uple $Z_{n}=\left(z_{n}^{(1)}, \cdots, z_{n}^{(d)}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ such that $R_{n}=q^{-1} Z_{n} B$.

Proof. By induction on $n$. First, $r_{1}=r_{1}^{(1)}=\beta x-x_{1}$, thus

$$
r_{1}=q^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_{i} \beta^{i+1}-q x_{1}\right)=q^{-1}\left(\frac{z_{1}^{(1)}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{z_{1}^{(d)}}{\beta^{d}}\right)
$$

using the fact that $\beta^{d}=a_{1} \beta^{d-1}+\cdots+a_{d}, a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, $r_{n+1}=r_{n+1}^{(1)}=$ $\beta r_{n}-x_{n+1}$, hence

$$
r_{n+1}=q^{-1}\left(z_{n}^{(1)}+\frac{z_{n}^{(2)}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{z_{n}^{(d)}}{\beta^{d-1}}-q x_{n+1}\right)=q^{-1}\left(\frac{z_{n+1}^{(1)}}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{z_{n+1}^{(d)}}{\beta^{d}}\right)
$$

since $z_{n}^{(1)}-q x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Thus

$$
r_{n}=r_{n}^{(1)}=\beta^{n}\left(q^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_{i} \beta^{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \beta^{-k}\right)=q^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{d} z_{n}^{(k)} \beta^{-k}
$$

Since the latter equation has integral coefficients and is satisfied by $\beta$, it is also satisfied by each conjugate $\beta_{j}, 2 \leq j \leq d$,

$$
r_{n}^{(j)}=\beta_{j}^{n}\left(q^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} p_{i} \beta_{j}^{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \beta_{j}^{-k}\right)=q^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{d} z_{n}^{(k)} \beta_{j}^{-k}
$$

We resume the proof of Proposition 7.2.19. Let $V_{n}=q R_{n}$. The $\left(V_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ have bounded norm, since $\max _{1 \leq j \leq d} \sup _{n}\left|r_{n}^{(j)}\right|<+\infty$. As the matrix $B$ is invertible, for every $n \geq 1$,

$$
\left\|Z_{n}\right\|=\left\|\left(z_{n}^{(1)}, \cdots, z_{n}^{(d)}\right)\right\|=\max _{1 \leq j \leq d}\left|z_{n}^{(j)}\right|<+\infty
$$

so there exist $p$ and $m \geq 1$ such that $Z_{m+p}=Z_{m}$, hence $r_{m+p}=r_{m}$ and the $\beta$-expansion of $x$ is eventually periodic.

On the other hand, there is a gap between Pisot and Perron numbers as shown be the following result.

Proposition 7.2.21. If $S_{\beta}$ is sofic then $\beta$ is a Perron number.
Proof. With the automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 7.2.13 one associates a matrix $M=M_{\beta}$ by taking for $M[i, j]$ the number of edges from state $q_{i}$ to state $q_{j}$, that is, if $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{\omega}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M[i, 1] & =t_{i} \\
M[i, i+1] & =1 \text { for } i \neq N+p \\
M[N+p, N+1] & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

and other entries are equal to 0 .
Claim 1. The matrix $M$ is primitive: $M^{N+p}>0$, since $M^{N+p}[i, j]$ is equal to the number of paths of length $N+p$ from $q_{i}$ to $q_{j}$ in the strongly connected automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}$.
Claim 2. The characteristic polynomial of $M$ is equal to

$$
K(X)=X^{N+p}-\sum_{i=1}^{N+p} t_{i} X^{N+p-i}-X^{N}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_{i} X^{N-i}
$$

and $\beta$ is one of its roots: it can be checked by a straightforward computation.
When $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$ is finite, the matrix associated with the automaton is simpler, it is the companion matrix of the polynomial $K(X)=X^{m}-t_{1} X^{m-1}-$ $\cdots-t_{m}$, which is primitive, since $M^{m}>0$.

Since $\beta>1$ is an eigenvalue of a primitive matrix, by the theorem of PerronFrobenius, $\beta$ is strictly greater in modulus than its algebraic conjugates.

Thus when $\beta$ is a non-integral rational number (for instance $3 / 2$ ), the $\beta$-shift $S_{\beta}$ cannot be sofic.
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Example 7.2.22. There are Perron numbers which are neither Pisot nor Salem numbers and such that the $\beta$-shift is of finite type: for instance the root $\beta \sim 3.616$ of $X^{4}-3 X^{3}-2 X^{2}-3$ satisfies $d_{\beta}(1)=3203$, and $\beta$ has a conjugate $\gamma \sim-1.096$.

Remark 7.2.23. If $\beta$ is a Perron number with a real conjugate $>1$, then $d_{\beta}(1)$ cannot be eventually periodic.

In fact, suppose that $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{\omega}$, and that $\beta$ has a conjugate $\gamma>1$. Since $\beta$ is a zero of the polynomial $K(X)$ of $\mathbb{Z}[X], \gamma$ is also a zero of this polynomial. Thus $d_{\gamma}(1)=d_{\beta}(1)$, and by Corollary 7.2.10, $\gamma=\beta$.
For instance the quadratic Perron number $\beta=(5+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ has a real conjugate $>1$, and thus $S_{\beta}$ is not sofic.

## 7.3. $U$-representations

We now consider another generalization of the notion of numeration system, which only allow to represent the natural numbers. The base is replaced by an infinite sequence of integers. The basic example is the well-known Fibonacci numeration system.

### 7.3.1. Definitions

Let $U=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of integers with $u_{0}=1$. A representation in the system $U$ - or a $U$-representation - of a nonnegative integer $N$ is a finite sequence of integers $\left(d_{i}\right)_{k \geq i \geq 0}$ such that

$$
N=\sum_{i=0}^{k} d_{i} u_{i}
$$

Such a representation will be written $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$, most significant digit first.
Among all possible $U$-representations of a given nonnegative integer $N$ one is distinguished and called the normal $U$-representation of $N$ : it is sometimes called the greedy representation, since it can be obtained by the following greedy algorithm : given integers $m$ and $p$ let us denote by $q(m, p)$ and $r(m, p)$ the quotient and the remainder of the Euclidean division of $m$ by $p$. Let $k \geq 0$ such that $u_{k} \leq N<u_{k+1}$ and let $d_{k}=q\left(N, u_{k}\right)$ and $r_{k}=r\left(N, u_{k}\right)$, and, for $i=k-1$, $\ldots, 0, d_{i}=q\left(r_{i+1}, u_{i}\right)$ and $r_{i}=r\left(r_{i+1}, u_{i}\right)$. Then $N=d_{k} u_{k}+\cdots+d_{0} u_{0}$. The normal $U$-representation of $N$ is denoted by $\langle N\rangle_{U}$.

By convention the normal representation of 0 is the empty word $\varepsilon$. Under the hypothesis that the ratio $u_{n+1} / u_{n}$ is bounded by a constant as $n$ tends to infinity, the integers of the normal $U$-representation of any integer $N$ are bounded and contained in a canonical finite alphabet $A$ associated with $U$.

Example 7.3.1. Let $U=\left\{2^{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$. The normal $U$-representation of an integer is nothing else than its 2-ary standard expansion.

Example 7.3.2. Let $F=\left(F_{n}\right)_{n>0}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers (see Example ??). The canonical alphabet is equal to $A=\{0,1\}$. The normal $F$-representation of the number 15 is 100010 , another representation is 11010 .

An equivalent definition of the notion of normal $U$-representation is the following one.

Lemma 7.3.3. The word $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$, where each $d_{i}$, for $k \geq i \geq 0$, is a nonnegative integer and $d_{k} \neq 0$, is the normal $U$-representation of some integer if and only if for each $i, d_{i} u_{i}+\cdots+d_{0} u_{0}<u_{i+1}$.
Proof. If $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ is obtained by the greedy algorithm, $r_{i+1}=d_{i} u_{i}+\cdots+d_{0} u_{0}<$ $u_{i+1}$ by construction.

As for $\beta$-expansions, the $U$-representation obtained by the greedy algorithm is the greatest one for some order we define now. Let $v$ and $w$ be two words. We say that $v<w$ if $|v|<|w|$ or if $|v|=|w|$ and there exist letters $a<b$ such that $v=u a v^{\prime}$ and $w=u b w^{\prime}$. This order is sometimes called "radix order" or "genealogic order", or even "lexicographic order" in the literature, although the definition is slightly different from the usual definition of lexicographic order on finite words (see Chapter 1).

Proposition 7.3.4. The normal $U$-representation of an integer is the greatest in the radix order of all the $U$-representations of that integer.

Proof. Let $d=d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ be the normal $U$-representation of $N$, and let $w=$ $w_{j} \cdots w_{0}$ be another representation. Since $u_{k} \leq N<u_{k+1}, k \geq j$. If $k>j$, then $d>w$. If $k=j$, suppose $d<w$. Thus there exists $i, k \geq i \geq 0$ such that $d_{i}<w_{i}$ and $d_{k} \cdots d_{i+1}=w_{k} \cdots w_{i+1}$. Hence $d_{i} u_{i}+\cdots+d_{0} u_{0}=$ $w_{i} u_{i}+\cdots+w_{0} u_{0}$, but $d_{i} u_{i}+\cdots+d_{0} u_{0} \leq\left(w_{i}-1\right) u_{i}+d_{i-1} u_{i-1}+\cdots+d_{0} u_{0}$, so $u_{i}+w_{i-1} u_{i-1}+\cdots+w_{0} u_{0} \leq d_{i-1} u_{i-1}+\cdots+d_{0} u_{0}<u_{i}$ since $d$ is normal, which is absurd.

The order between natural numbers is given by their radix order between their normal $U$-representations.

Proposition 7.3.5. Let $M$ and $N$ be two nonnegative integers, then $M<N$ if and only if $\langle M\rangle_{U}<\langle N\rangle_{U}$.

Proof. Let $v=v_{k} \cdots v_{0}=\langle M\rangle_{U}$ with $u_{k} \leq M<u_{k+1}$, and $w=w_{j} \cdots w_{0}=$ $\langle N\rangle_{U}$ with $u_{j} \leq N<u_{j+1}$, and suppose that $v<w$. Then $k \leq j$. If $k<j$, $u_{k+1} \leq u_{j}$, and $M<N$. If $k=j$, there exists $i$ such that $v_{i}<w_{i}$ and $v_{k} \cdots v_{i+1}=w_{k} \cdots w_{i+1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =v_{k} u_{k}+\cdots+v_{0} u_{0} \\
& \leq w_{k} u_{k}+\cdots+w_{i+1} u_{i+1}+\left(w_{i}-1\right) u_{i}+v_{i-1} u_{i-1}+\cdots+v_{0} u_{0} \\
& <w_{k} u_{k}+\cdots+w_{i+1} u_{i+1}+w_{i} u_{i} \leq N
\end{aligned}
$$

since $v_{i-1} u_{i-1}+\cdots+v_{0} u_{0}<u_{i}$ by Lemma 7.3.3, thus $M<N$.
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### 7.3.2. The set of normal $U$-representations

The set of normal $U$-representations of all the nonnegative integers is denoted by $L(U)$.

Example 7.3 .2 (continued). Let $F$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. The set $L(F)$ is the set of words without the factor 11 , and not beginning with a 0 ,

$$
L(F)=1\{0,1\}^{*} \backslash\{0,1\}^{*} 11\{0,1\}^{*} \cup \varepsilon
$$

First the analogue of Theorem 7.2 .9 is the following result.
Proposition 7.3.6. The set $L(U)$ is the set of words over $A$ such that each suffix of length $n$ is less in the radix order than $\left\langle u_{n}-1\right\rangle_{U}$.

Proof. Let $v=v_{k} \cdots v_{0}$ be in $L(U)$, and $0 \leq n \leq k+1$. By Lemma 7.3.3 $v_{n-1} u_{n-1}+\cdots+v_{0} u_{0} \leq u_{n}-1$, and by Proposition 7.3.5, $v_{n-1} \cdots v_{0} \leq\left\langle u_{n}-1\right\rangle_{U}$. The converse is immediate.

An important case is when $L(U)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton, as it is the case for usual numeration systems. We first give a necessary condition.

Recall that a formal series with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$ is said to be $\mathbb{N}$-rational if it belongs to the smallest class containing polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$, and closed under addition, multiplication and star operation, where $F^{*}$ is the series $1+F+F^{2}+F^{n}+\cdots=1 /(1-F), F$ being a series such that $F(0)=0$. A $\mathbb{N}$-rational series is necessarily $\mathbb{Z}$-rational, and thus can be written $P(X) / Q(X)$, with $P(X)$ and $Q(X)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, and $Q(0)=1$. Therefore the sequence of coefficients of a $\mathbb{N}$-rational series satisfies a linear recurrent relation with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. It is classical that, if $L$ is recognizable by a finite automaton, then the series $f_{L}(X)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \ell_{n} X^{n}$, where $\ell_{n}$ denotes the number of words of length $n$ in $L$, is $\mathbb{N}$-rational (see Berstel and Reutenauer 1988).

Proposition 7.3.7. If the set $L(U)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton, then the series $U(X)=\sum_{n \geq 0} u_{n} X^{n}$ is $\mathbb{N}$-rational, and thus the sequence $U$ satisfies a linear recurrence with integral coefficients.

Proof. Let $\ell_{n}$ be the number of words of length $n$ in $L(U)$. The series $f_{L(U)}(X)=$ $\sum_{n>0} \ell_{n} X^{n}$ is $\mathbb{N}$-rational. We have $u_{n}=\ell_{n}+\cdots+\ell_{0}$, because the number of words of length $\leq n$ in $L(U)$ is equal to the number of naturals smaller than $u_{n}$, whose normal representation has length $n+1$. Thus $U(X)=f_{L(U)}(X) /(1-X)$, and it is $\mathbb{N}$-rational.

When the sequence $U$ satisfies a linear recurrence with integral coefficients, we say that $U$ defines a linear numeration system.

To determine sufficient conditions on the sequence $U$ for the set $L(U)$ to be recognizable by a finite automaton is a difficult question (see Problem 7.3.1). It is strongly related to the theory of $\beta$-expansions where $\beta$ is the dominant root of the characteristic polynomial of the linear recurrence of $U$. Nevertheless, there is a case where the set $L(U)$ and the factors of the $\beta$-shift coincide. This means
that the dynamical systems generated by the $\beta$-expansions of real numbers and by normal $U$-representations of integers are the same.

It is obvious that if a word of the form $v 0^{n}$ belongs to $L(U)$ then $v$ itself is a word of $L(U)$, but the converse is not true in general. We will say that a set $L \subset A$ is right-extendable if the following property holds

$$
v \in L \Rightarrow v 0 \in L
$$

ThEOREM 7.3.8. Let $U=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of integers, with $u_{0}=1$, and such that $\sup u_{n+1} / u_{n}<+\infty$, and let $A$ be the canonical alphabet. There exists a real number $\beta>1$ such that $L(U)=F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ if and only if $L(U)$ is right-extendable. In that case, if $d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$, the sequence $U$ is determined by

$$
u_{n}=d_{1} u_{n-1}+\cdots+d_{n} u_{0}+1
$$

Proof. Clearly, if $L(U)=F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ for some $\beta>1$, then $L(U)$ is right-extendable. Conversely, suppose that $L(U)$ is right-extendable. For each $n$, denote

$$
\left\langle u_{n}-1\right\rangle_{U}=d_{1}^{(n)} \cdots d_{n}^{(n)}
$$

Since $L(U)$ is right-extendable, for each $k<n, d_{1}^{(k)} \cdots d_{k}^{(k)} 0^{n-k} \in L(U)$, and thus $d_{1}^{(k)} \cdots d_{k}^{(k)} \leq d_{1}^{(n)} \cdots d_{k}^{(n)}$. Therefore $d_{1}^{(k)} \cdots d_{k}^{(k)}=d_{1}^{(n)} \cdots d_{k}^{(n)}$ because $d_{1}^{(k)} \cdots d_{k}^{(k)}$ is the greatest word of length $k$ in the radix order.
Let $d_{n}=d_{n}^{(n)}$, then $d_{n} d_{n+1} \cdots \leq d_{1} d_{2} \cdots$. Let $d=\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$. If there exists $m$ such that $d=\sigma^{m}(d)$ then $d$ is periodic. Let $m$ be the smallest such index. In that case, put $t_{1}=d_{1}, \ldots, t_{m-1}=d_{m-1}, t_{m}=d_{m}+1, t_{i}=0$ for $i>m$. In case $d$ is not periodic, put $t_{i}=d_{i}$ for every $i$. Then the sequence $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ satisfies $t_{n} t_{n+1} \cdots<t_{1} t_{2} \cdots$ for all $n \geq 2$, and thus by Corollary 7.2 .10 there exists a unique $\beta>1$ such that $d_{\beta}(1)=\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$.

Let us show that $L(U)=F\left(D_{\beta}\right)$. Recall that

$$
D_{\beta}=\left\{s \mid \forall p \geq 0, \sigma^{p}(s)<d_{\beta}^{*}(1)=\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}\right\}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(D_{\beta}\right) & =\left\{v=v_{k} \cdots v_{0} \mid \forall n, 0 \leq n \leq k, v_{n-1} \cdots v_{0} \leq d_{1} \cdots d_{n}=\left\langle u_{n}-1\right\rangle_{U}\right\} \\
& =L(U)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 7.3.6.
Now, since by definition $d_{1} \cdots d_{n}=\left\langle u_{n}-1\right\rangle_{U}$, we get

$$
u_{n}=d_{1} u_{n-1}+\cdots+d_{n} u_{0}+1
$$

The numeration systems satisfying Theorem 7.3 .8 will be called canonical numeration systems associated with $\beta$, and denoted by $U_{\beta}$. Note that if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic, then $L\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton and $U_{\beta}$ satisfies a linear recurrent sequence.

Example 7.3.2 (continued). The Fibonacci numeration system is the canonical numeration system associated with the golden ratio.
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### 7.3.3. Normalization in a canonical linear numeration system

We first give general definitions, valid for any linear numeration system defined by a sequence $U$. The numerical value in the system $U$ of a representation $w=d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ is equal to $\pi_{U}(w)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} d_{i} u_{i}$. Let $C$ be a finite alphabet of integers. The normalization in the system $U$ on $C^{*}$ is the partial function

$$
\nu_{C}: C^{*} \longrightarrow A^{*}
$$

that maps a word $w$ of $C^{*}$ such that $\pi_{U}(w)$ is nonnegative onto the normal $U$-representation of $\pi_{U}(w)$.

In the sequel, we assume that $U=U_{\beta}$ is the canonical numeration system associated with a number $\beta$ which is a Pisot number. Thus $U$ satisfies an equation of the form

$$
u_{n}=a_{1} u_{n-1}+a_{2} u_{n-2}+\cdots+a_{m} u_{n-m}, \quad a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a_{m} \neq 0, \quad n \geq m
$$

In that case, the canonical alphabet $A$ associated with $U$ is $A=\{0, \ldots, K\}$ where $K<\max \left(u_{i+1} / u_{i}\right)$. The polynomial $P(X)=X^{m}-a_{1} X^{m-1}-\cdots-a_{m}$ will be called the characteristic polynomial of $U$.

We also make the hypothesis that $P$ is exactly the minimal polynomial of $\beta$ (in general, $P$ is a multiple of the minimal polynomial).

Our aim is to prove the following result.
Theorem 7.3.9. Let $U=U_{\beta}$ be a canonical linear numeration system associated with a Pisot number $\beta$, and such that the characteristic polynomial of $U$ is equal to the minimal polynomial of $\beta$. Then, for every alphabet $C$ of nonnegative integers, the normalization on $C^{*}$ is computable by a finite transducer.
The proof is in several steps. Let $C=\{0, \ldots, c\}, \widetilde{C}=\{-c, \ldots, c\}$, and let

$$
Z(U, c)=\left\{d_{k} \cdots d_{0} \mid d_{i} \in \tilde{C}, \sum_{i=0}^{k} d_{i} u_{i}=0\right\}
$$

be the set of words on $\widetilde{C}$ having numerical value 0 in the system $U$. We first prove a general result.

Proposition 7.3.10. If $Z(U, c)$ and $L(U)$ are recognizable by a finite automaton then $\nu_{C}$ is a function computable by a finite transducer.

Proof. Let $f=f_{n} \cdots f_{0}$ and $g=g_{k} \cdots g_{0}$ be two words of $C^{*}$, with for instance $n \geq k$. We denote by $f \ominus g$ the word of $\widetilde{C}^{*}$ equal to $f_{n} \cdots f_{k+1}\left(f_{k}-g_{k}\right) \cdots\left(f_{0}-\right.$ $\left.g_{0}\right)$. The graph of $\nu_{C}$ is equal to $\widehat{\nu_{C}}=\left\{(f, g) \in C^{*} \times A^{*} \mid g \in L(U), f \ominus g \in\right.$ $Z(U, c)\}$.

Let $R$ be the graph of $\ominus$ :

$$
R=\left[\left(\cup_{a \in C}((a, \varepsilon), a)\right)^{*} \cup\left(\cup_{a \in C}((\varepsilon, a),-a)\right)^{*}\right]\left[\cup_{a, b \in C}((a, b), a-b)\right]^{*}
$$

$R$ is a rational subset of $\left(C^{*} \times C^{*}\right) \times \widetilde{C}^{*}$. Let us consider the set

$$
R^{\prime}=R \cap\left(\left(C^{*} \times L(U)\right) \times Z(U, c)\right) \subseteq\left(C^{*} \times A^{*}\right) \times \widetilde{C}^{*}
$$

Then $\widehat{\nu_{C}}$ is the projection of $R^{\prime}$ on $C^{*} \times A^{*}$. As $L(U)$ and $Z(U, c)$ are rational by assumption, $\left(C^{*} \times L(U)\right) \times Z(U, c)$ is a recognizable subset of $\left(C^{*} \times A^{*}\right) \times \widetilde{C}^{*}$ as a Cartesian product of rational sets (see Berstel 1979). Since $R$ is rational, $R^{\prime}$ is a rational subset of $\left(C^{*} \times A^{*}\right) \times \widetilde{C}^{*}$. So, $\widehat{\nu_{C}}$ being the projection of $R^{\prime}, \widehat{\nu_{C}}$ is a rational subset of $C^{*} \times A^{*}$, that is, $\nu_{C}$ is computable by a finite transducer.

The core of the proof relies in the following result.

Proposition 7.3.11. Let $U$ be a linear numeration system such that its characteristic polynomial is equal to the minimal polynomial of a Pisot number $\beta$. Then $Z(U, c)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton.

Proof. Set $Z=Z(U, c)$ for short. We define on the set $H$ of prefixes of $Z$ the equivalence relation $\zeta$ as follows ( $m$ is the degree of $P$ )

$$
f \zeta g \Leftrightarrow\left[\forall n, 0 \leq n \leq m-1, \pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)=\pi_{U}\left(g 0^{n}\right)\right]
$$

Let $f \zeta g$. It is clear that the sequences $\left(\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(\pi_{U}\left(g 0^{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfy the same recurrence relation as $U$. Since they coincide on the first $m$ values, they are equal. Thus, for any $h \in \widetilde{C}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f h \in Z & \Leftrightarrow \pi_{U}\left(f 0^{|h|}\right)+\pi_{U}(h)=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \pi_{U}\left(g 0^{|h|}\right)+\pi_{U}(h)=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow g h \in Z
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $f$ and $g$ are right congruent modulo $Z$. If $f$ and $g$ are not in $H$, then $f \sim_{Z} g$ as well.

It remains to prove that $\zeta$ has finite index. This will be achieved by showing that there are only finitely many possible values of $\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)$ for $f \in H$ and for all $0 \leq n \leq m-1$. Recall that, if $\beta=\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ are the roots of $P$, since $P$ is minimal they are all distinct, and there exist complex constants $\lambda_{1}>0$, $\lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
u_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \beta_{i}^{n}
$$

If $f=f_{k} \cdots f_{0}$, let $\pi_{\beta}(f)=f_{k} \beta^{k}+\cdots+f_{1} \beta+f_{0}$.
Claim 1. There exists $\eta$ such that for all $f \in \widetilde{C}$

$$
\left|\pi_{U}(f)-\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}(f)\right|<\eta
$$
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We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{U}(f)-\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}(f) & =\sum_{j=0}^{k} f_{j} u_{j}-\lambda_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} f_{j} \beta^{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{k} f_{j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \beta_{i}^{j}\right)-\lambda_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} f_{j} \beta^{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{k} f_{j}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{m} \lambda_{i} \beta_{i}^{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta$ is a Pisot number, $\left|\beta_{i}\right|<1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$ and

$$
\left|\pi_{U}(f)-\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}(f)\right|<c \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \frac{1}{1-\left|\beta_{i}\right|}=\eta
$$

Claim 2. There exists $\gamma$ such that for all $f \in H,\left|\pi_{\beta}(f)\right|<\gamma$.
Since $f \in H$ there exists $h \in \widetilde{C}$ such that $f h \in Z$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{|h|}\right)+\pi_{U}(h) & <\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}\left(f 0^{|h|}\right)+\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}(h)+2 \eta \\
& <\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}(f) \beta^{|h|}+\lambda_{1}(c+1) \beta^{\beta^{h \mid}}+2 \eta \beta^{|h|}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $\pi_{\beta}(f)>-c-1-2 \eta \lambda_{1}^{-1}$. Similarly $\pi_{\beta}(f)<c+1+2 \eta \lambda_{1}^{-1}$, hence $\left|\pi_{\beta}(f)\right|<$ $c+1+2 \eta \lambda_{1}^{-1}=\gamma$.
Claim 3. There exists $\delta$ such that for all $f \in H$, for all $0 \leq n \leq m-1$

$$
\left|\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)\right|<\delta .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)\right| & \leq\left|\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)-\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}\left(f 0^{n}\right)\right|+\left|\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}\left(f 0^{n}\right)\right| \\
& <\eta+\left|\lambda_{1} \pi_{\beta}(f)\right| \beta^{n} \\
& <\eta+\lambda_{1} \gamma \beta^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\left|\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)\right|<\delta=\eta+\lambda_{1} \gamma \beta^{m-1}$.
Thus there are only finitely many possible values of $\pi_{U}\left(f 0^{n}\right)$ for $f \in H$ and for all $0 \leq n \leq m-1$, therefore $\zeta$ has finite index, and $Z(U, c)$ is rational.
Proof of the theorem. Since $U$ is canonical for a Pisot number, $L(U)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton. The result follows from Proposition 7.3.10 and Proposition 7.3.11.

Corollary 7.3.12. Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 7.3.9, addition of integers represented in the canonical linear numeration system $U_{\beta}$ is computable by a finite transducer.
Proof. The canonical alphabet being $A=\{0, \ldots, K\}$, take $C=\{0, \ldots, 2 K\}$ in Theorem 7.3.9.


Figure 7.3. Automaton recognizing the set of words on $\{-1,0,1\}$ having value 0 in the Fibonacci numeration system


Figure 7.4. Normalization on $\{0,1\}$ in the Fibonacci numeration system

Example 7.3.2 (continued). Let $F$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. The characteristic polynomial of $F$ is $X^{2}-X-1$, and it is the minimal polynomial of the Pisot number $\beta=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$. Figure 7.3 gives the automaton recognizing the set $Z(F, 1)$ of words on the alphabet $\{-1,0,1\}$ having numerical value 0 in the Fibonacci numeration system.

Figure 7.4 shows a finite transducer realizing the normalization on $\{0,1\}$ in the Fibonacci numeration system. For simplicity, we assume that input and output words have the same length.

The result stated in Theorem 7.3 .9 can be extended to the case where $U$ is not the canonical numeration system associated with a Pisot number $\beta$, but where the characteristic polynomial of $U$ is still equal to the minimal polynomial of $\beta$. There is a partial converse to this result, see Notes.

### 7.4. Representation of complex numbers

The usual method of representing real numbers by their decimal or binary expansions can be generalized to complex numbers. It is possible (see the Problem Section) to represent complex numbers with an integral base and complex digits, but we present here results when the base is some complex number.

### 7.4.1. Gaussian integers

In this section we focus on representing complex numbers using integral digits. The set of Gaussian integers, denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, is the set $\{a+b i \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The base $\beta$ will be chosen as a Gaussian integer. It is quite natural to extend properties satisfied by integral base for real numbers, namely the fact that integers coincide with numbers having a zero fractional part. More precisely, given a base $\beta$ of modulus $>1$ and an alphabet $A$ of digits that are Gaussian integers, we will say that $(\beta, A)$ is an integral numeration system for the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ if every Gaussian integer $z$ has a unique integer representation of the form $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ such that $z=\sum_{j=0}^{k} d_{j} \beta^{j}$, with $d_{j} \in A$. We shall see later that, in that case, every complex number has a representation.

We first show preliminary results. A set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a complete residue system for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ modulo $\beta$ if every element of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is congruent modulo $\beta$ to a unique element of $A$. The norm of a Gaussian integer $z=x+y i$ is $N(z)=x^{2}+y^{2}$. The following result is well known in elementary number theory.

Theorem 7.4.1 (Gauss). Let $\beta=a+b i$ be a non-zero Gaussian integer, and let $N$ be the norm of $\beta$. If $a$ and $b$ are coprime, then a complete residue system for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ modulo $\beta$ is the set

$$
\{0, \ldots, N-1\}
$$

If $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=\lambda$, a complete residue system for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ modulo $\beta$ is the set

$$
\{p+i q \mid p=0,1, \ldots,(N / \lambda)-1, q=0,1, \ldots, \lambda-1\}
$$

We use it in the following circumstances.
Proposition 7.4.2. Suppose that every Gaussian integer has an integer representation in $(\beta, A)$. Then this representation is unique if and only if $A$ is a complete residue system for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ modulo $\beta$, that contains 0 .

Proof. Let us suppose that $A$ is a complete residue system containing 0 , and let $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ and $c_{p} \cdots c_{0}$ be two representations of $z$ in $(\beta, A)$. One can suppose $d_{0} \neq c_{0}$. Then $c_{0}-d_{0}=\beta\left(d_{k} \beta^{k-1}+\cdots+d_{1}-c_{p} \beta^{p-1}-\cdots-c_{1}\right)$, thus $d_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ are congruent modulo $\beta$, and are elements of $A$, thus they are equal, which is absurd.

Conversely, suppose that every Gaussian integer $z$ has a unique representation of the form $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$, with digits $d_{j}$ in $A$. Then $z$ is congruent to $d_{0}$ modulo $\beta$, thus the digit set $A$ must contain a complete residue system.

Now let $c$ and $d$ be two digits of $A$ that are congruent modulo $\beta$. Then $c-d=\beta q$ with $q$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Let $q_{n} \cdots q_{0}$ be the representation of $q$. Hence $c$ has two representations, $c$ itself and $q_{n} \cdots q_{0} d$.

If we require the digits to be natural numbers, the base must be a Gaussian integer $\beta=a+b i$ with $a$ and $b$ coprime, and the choice is drastically restricted.

Theorem 7.4.3. Let $\beta$ be a Gaussian integer of norm $N$, and let $A=\{0, \ldots$, $N-1\}$. Then $(\beta, A)$ is an integral numeration system for the complex numbers if and only if $\beta=-n \pm i$, for some $n \geq 1$.

Proof. First let $\beta=a+b i, a$ and $b$ coprime, and let $A=\left\{0, \ldots, a^{2}+b^{2}-1\right\}$. Suppose that $a>0$. We shall show that the Gaussian integer $z=(1-a)+i b$ has no representation. Suppose in the contrary that $z$ has a representation $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$. Let $y=z(1-\beta)=a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a+1$. Since $a>0, y$ belongs to $A$. But $y=d_{0}+\left(d_{1}-d_{0}\right) \beta+\cdots+\left(d_{k}-d_{k-1}\right) \beta^{k}-d_{k} \beta^{k+1}$. Thus $y$ is congruent to $d_{0}$ modulo $\beta$, and so $y=d_{0}$. It follows that $d_{1}-d_{0}=0, \ldots, d_{k}-d_{k-1}=0$, $d_{k}=0$, so for $0 \leq j \leq k, d_{j}=0$. Thus $y=0$ and $a=1, b=0$. But $\beta=1$ is not the base of a numeration system.

If $a=0$ and $b= \pm 1$, then $\beta= \pm i$ is not a base either. If $a=0$ and $|b| \geq 2$, the digit set is $\left\{0, \cdots, b^{2}-1\right\}$. If $b>0$ then $i$ has no integer representation, since $\langle i\rangle_{\beta}=10 \cdot\left(b^{2}-b\right)$. If $b<0$, then $-i$ has no integer representation (see Exercise 7.4.2.)

Let now $a<0$ and $b \neq \pm 1$. Suppose that a Gaussian integer $z$ has a representation $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$. Then $\operatorname{Im} z=d_{k} \operatorname{Im} \beta^{k}+\cdots+d_{1} \operatorname{Im} \beta$. Since $\operatorname{Im} \beta=b$ is a divisor of $\operatorname{Im} \beta^{k}$ for all $k, b$ divides $\operatorname{Im} z$. Take $z=i$. Since $b \neq \pm 1$, there is a contradiction.

Let now $\beta=-n+i, n \geq 1$, and thus $A=\left\{0, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$. It remains to prove that any $z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ has an integer representation in $(\beta, A)$. Let $z=x+i y, x$ and $y$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. We have $z=c+d \beta$, with $d=y$ and $c=x+n y$. From the equality $\beta^{2}+2 n \beta+n^{2}+1=0$, it is possible to write $z$ as $z=d_{3} \beta^{3}+d_{2} \beta^{2}+d_{1} \beta+d_{0}$ with $d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $z=d_{k} \beta^{k}+\cdots+d_{0}$, with $d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $k \geq 3$, and let $d=d_{k} \cdots d_{0} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Denote by $S$ the sum-of-digits function

$$
\begin{aligned}
S: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}^{*} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\
(z, d) & \longmapsto S(z, d)=d_{k}+\cdots+d_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following we will use the fact that $n^{2}+1=\beta^{3}+(2 n-1) \beta^{2}+(n-1)^{2} \beta$, that is, $\left\langle n^{2}+1\right\rangle_{\beta}$ is equal to the word $1(2 n-1)(n-1)^{2} 0$, and that the sum of digits of these two representations is the same and equal to $n^{2}+1$. By the Euclidean division by $n^{2}+1, d_{0}=r_{0}+q_{0}\left(n^{2}+1\right)$ with $0 \leq r_{0} \leq n^{2}$, thus $z=r_{0}+\left(d_{1}+q_{0}(n-1)^{2}\right) \beta+\left(d_{2}+q_{0}(2 n-1)\right) \beta^{2}+\left(d_{3}+q_{0}\right) \beta^{3}+d_{4} \beta^{4}+\cdots+d_{k} \beta^{k}=$ $d_{0}^{(1)}+\cdots+d_{k}^{(1)} \beta^{k}$. Clearly $S(z, d)=S\left(z, d^{(1)}\right)$, where $d^{(1)}=d_{k}^{(1)} \cdots d_{0}^{(1)}$.

Let $z_{1}=d_{1}^{(1)}+\cdots+d_{k}^{(1)} \beta^{k-1}$, then $S\left(z_{1}, d^{(1)}\right) \leq S(z, d)$, and the inequality is strict if and only if $r_{0} \neq 0$. Repeating this process, we get $z=\beta z_{1}+r_{0}$, $z_{1}=\beta z_{2}+r_{1}, \ldots, z_{j-1}=\beta z_{j}+r_{j-1}$, with for $0 \leq i \leq j-1, r_{i} \in A$, and $S(z, d) \geq S\left(z_{1}, d^{(1)}\right) \geq \cdots \geq S\left(z_{j-1}, d^{(j-1)}\right)$.

Since the sequence $\left(S\left(z_{j}, d^{(j)}\right)\right)_{j}$ of natural numbers is decreasing, there exists a $p$ such that, for every $m \geq 0, S\left(z_{p}, d^{(p)}\right)=S\left(z_{p+m}, d^{(p+m)}\right)$, thus $\beta^{m}$ divides $z_{p}$ for every $m$, therefore $z_{p}=0$. So we get

$$
\langle z\rangle_{\beta}=r_{p-1} \cdots r_{0}
$$
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7.4. Representation of complex numbers

Let now $\beta=-n-i$. Using the result for the conjugate $\bar{\beta}=-n+i$, we have

$$
\langle\bar{z}\rangle_{\bar{\beta}}=r_{p-1} \cdots r_{0}
$$

for every Gaussian integer $\bar{z}$. Hence

$$
\langle z\rangle_{\beta}=r_{p-1} \cdots r_{0}
$$

for every Gaussian integer $z$.
From this result, one can deduce that every complex number is representable in this system.

Theorem 7.4.4. If $\beta=-n \pm i, n \geq 1$, and $A=\left\{0, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$, every complex number has a representation (not necessarily unique) in the numeration system $(\beta, A)$.

Proof. Let $z=x+i y, x$ and $y$ in $\mathbb{R}$, be a fixed arbitrary complex number. For $k \geq 0$, let $\beta^{k}=u_{k}+i v_{k}$. Then

$$
z=\frac{(x+i y)\left(u_{k}+i v_{k}\right)}{\beta^{k}}=\frac{p_{k}+i q_{k}}{\beta^{k}}+\frac{r_{k}+i s_{k}}{\beta^{k}}
$$

where $x u_{k}-y v_{k}=p_{k}+r_{k}, x v_{k}+y u_{k}=q_{k}+s_{k}$, with $p_{k}$ and $q_{k}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, and $\left|r_{k}\right|<1,\left|s_{k}\right|<1$. Let

$$
z_{k}=\frac{p_{k}+i q_{k}}{\beta^{k}}, y_{k}=\frac{r_{k}+i s_{k}}{\beta^{k}}
$$

Since $y_{k} \rightarrow 0$ when $k \rightarrow \infty, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{k}=z$. Since $p_{k}+i q_{k}$ is a Gaussian integer, by Theorem 7.4.3.

$$
\left\langle p_{k}+i q_{k}\right\rangle_{\beta}=d_{t(k)}^{(k)} \cdots d_{0}^{(k)}
$$

Thus

$$
z_{k}=d_{t(k)}^{(k)} \beta^{t(k)-k}+\cdots+d_{0}^{(k)} \beta^{-k}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|d_{t(k)}^{(k)} \beta^{t(k)-k}+\cdots+d_{k}^{(k)}\right| & \leq\left|z_{k}\right|+\frac{d_{k-1}^{(k)}}{|\beta|}+\cdots+\frac{d_{0}^{(k)}}{|\beta|^{k}} \\
& \leq|z|+\left|y_{k}\right|+n^{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\beta|}+\frac{1}{|\beta|^{2}}+\cdots\right) \\
& \leq|z|+\left|y_{k}\right|+\frac{n^{2}}{|\beta|-1} \leq c
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant not depending on $k$.
Since the representation of a Gaussian integer is unique, and since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a discrete lattice, i.e. is an additive subgroup such that any bounded part contains only a finite number of elements, $t(k)-k$ has an upper bound. Let $M$ be an integer such that $t(k)-k \leq M$. Then we can write $z_{k}$ on the form

$$
z_{k}=a_{M}^{(k)} \beta^{M}+\cdots+a_{0}^{(k)}+a_{-1}^{(k)} \beta^{-1}+a_{-2}^{(k)} \beta^{-2}+\cdots
$$



Figure 7.5. Base $-1+i$ tile with fractal boundary
where $a_{j}^{(k)} \in A$ for $M \geq j$. Let $b_{M} \in A$ be an integer so that $a_{M}^{(k)}=b_{M}$ for infinitely many $k$ 's. Let $D_{M}$ be the subset of those $k$ 's such that $a_{M}^{(k)}=b_{M}$. Let $b_{M-1} \in A$ be an integer so that $a_{M-1}^{(k)}=b_{M-1}$ for infinitely many $k$ 's in $D_{M}$, and let $D_{M-1}$ be the set of those $k$ 's. Repeating this process a set sequence $\left(D_{\ell}\right)_{\ell \geq M}$ such that $D_{M} \supseteq D_{M-1} \supseteq \cdots$ and such that for all $k \in D_{\ell}, a_{j}^{(k)}=b_{j}$ for each $\ell \leq j \leq M$ is constructed. Let $k_{1}<k_{2}<\cdots$ be an infinite sequence such that $k_{j} \in D_{M-j+1}$ for $j \geq 1$. Since

$$
z_{k_{j}}=b_{M} \beta^{M}+\cdots+b_{M-j+1} \beta^{M-j+1}+a_{M-j}^{\left(k_{j}\right)} \beta^{M-j}+a_{M-j-1}^{\left(k_{j}\right)} \beta^{M-j-1}+\cdots
$$

we get $z_{k_{j}} \rightarrow \sum_{\ell \leq M} b_{\ell} \beta^{\ell}$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{k}=z$, we have

$$
\langle z\rangle_{\beta}=b_{M} \cdots b_{0} \cdot b_{-1} b_{-2} \cdots
$$

Example 7.4.5. On Figure 7.5 is shown the set obtained by considering complex numbers having a zero integer part and a fractional part of length less than a fixed bound in their $-1+i$-expansion. This set actually tiles the plane.

Let $C$ be a finite alphabet of Gaussian integers. The normalization on $C^{*}$ is the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{C}: C^{*} \longrightarrow A^{*} \\
& c_{k} \cdots c_{0} \longmapsto\left\langle\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} \beta^{j}\right\rangle_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

As for standard representations of integers (see Proposition 7.1.3), normalization is a right subsequential function, and in particular addition is right subsequential.

Proposition 7.4.6. For any finite alphabet $C$ of Gaussian integers, the normalization in base $\beta=-n+i$ restricted to the set $C^{*} \backslash 0 C^{*}$ is a right subsequential function.
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Proof. Let $m=\max \{|c-a| \mid c \in C, a \in A\}$, and let $\gamma=m /(|\beta|-1)$. First observe that, if $s \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $c \in C$, there exist unique $a \in A$ and $s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $s+c=\beta s^{\prime}+a$, because $A$ is a complete residue system mod $\beta$. Furthermore, if $|s|<\gamma$, then $\left|s^{\prime}\right| \leq(|s|+|c-a|) /|\beta|<(\gamma+m) /|\beta|=\gamma$.

Consider the subsequential finite transducer $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$ over $C^{*} \times A^{*}$, where $\mathcal{A}=(Q, E, 0)$ is defined as follows. The set of states is $Q=\{s \in \mathbb{Z}[i]| | s \mid<\gamma\}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a discrete lattice, $Q$ is finite.

$$
E=\left\{s \xrightarrow{c / a} s^{\prime} \mid s+c=\beta s^{\prime}+a\right\} .
$$

Observe that the edges are "letter-to-letter". The terminal function is defined by $\omega(s)=\langle s\rangle_{\beta}$. The transducer is subsequential because $A$ is a complete residue system.

Now let $c_{k} \cdots c_{0} \in C^{*}$ and $z=\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} \beta^{j}$. Setting $s_{0}=0$, there is a unique path

$$
s_{0} \xrightarrow{c_{0} / a_{0}} s_{1} \xrightarrow{c_{1} / a_{1}} s_{2} \xrightarrow{c_{2} / a_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{c_{k-1} / a_{k-1}} s_{k} \xrightarrow{c_{k} / a_{k}} s_{k+1}
$$

We get $z=a_{0}+a_{1} \beta+\cdots+a_{k} \beta^{k}+s_{k+1} \beta^{k+1}$, and thus $\langle z\rangle_{\beta}=\omega\left(s_{k+1}\right) a_{k} \cdots a_{0}$.

### 7.4.2. Representability of the complex plane

In general, the question of deciding whether, given a base $\beta$ and a set of digits A, every complex number is representable, is difficult. A sufficient condition is given by the following result.

Theorem 7.4.7. Let $\beta$ be a complex number of modulus greater than 1 , and let $A$ be a finite set of complex numbers containing zero. If there exists a bounded neighborhood $V$ of zero such that $\beta V \subset V+A$, then every complex number $z$ has a representation of the form

$$
z=\sum_{j \leq m} d_{j} \beta^{j}
$$

with $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ and digits $d_{j}$ in $A$.
Proof. Let $z$ be in $\mathbb{C}$. There exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $\beta^{-k} z \in V$, thus it is enough to show that every element of $V$ is representable. Let $z$ be in $V$. A sequence $\left(z_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ of elements of $V$ is constructed as follows. Let $z_{0}=z$. As $\beta V \subset V+A$, if $z_{j}$ is in $V$, there exist $d_{j+1}$ in $A$ and $z_{j+1}$ in $V$ such that

$$
z_{j+1}=\beta z_{j}-d_{j+1}
$$

Hence the sequence $\left(z_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ is such that

$$
z=d_{1} \beta^{-1}+\cdots+d_{j} \beta^{-j}+z_{j} \beta^{-j}
$$

and since $V$ is bounded, by letting $j$ tend to infinity,

$$
z=\sum_{j \geq 0} d_{j} \beta^{-j}
$$

## Problems

## Section 7.1

7.1.1 Prove that addition in the standard $\beta$-ary system is not left subsequential.
7.1.2 Give a right subsequential transducer realizing the multiplication by a fixed integer, and a left subsequential transducer realizing the division by a fixed integer in the standard $\beta$-ary system.
7.1.3 Prove the well-known fact that a number is rational if and only if its $\beta$-expansion in the standard $\beta$-ary system is eventually periodic.
7.1.4 Show that any real number can be represented without a sign using a negative base $\beta$, where $\beta$ is an integer $\leq-2$, and digit alphabet $\{0, \ldots,|\beta|-1\}$. Integers have a unique integer representation. Addition of integers is a right subsequential function.
7.1.5 Show that one can represent any real number without a sign using base 3 , and digit alphabet $\{\overline{1}, 0,1\}$. Integers have a unique integer representation. Addition of integers is a right subsequential function. Generalize this result to integral bases greater than 3.

Section 7.2
7.2.1 Show that the code $Y$ defined in the proof of Proposition 7.2.11 is finite if and only if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite, resp. is recognizable by a finite automaton if and only if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic.
7.2.2 If every rational number of $[0,1]$ has an eventually periodic $\beta$-expansion, then $\beta$ must be a Pisot or a Salem number. (See Schmidt 1980).
7.2.3 Normalization in base $\beta$. (See Frougny 1992, Berend and Frougny 1994).

1. Let $s=\left(s_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ and denote by $\pi_{\beta}(s)$ the real number $\sum_{i \geq 1} s_{i} \beta^{-i}$. Let $C$ be a finite alphabet of integers. The canonical alphabet is $A=$ $\{0, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$. The normalization function on $C$

$$
\nu_{C}: C^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow A^{\mathbb{N}}
$$

is the partial function which maps an infinite word $s$ over $C$, such that $0 \leq \pi_{\beta}(s) \leq 1$, onto the $\beta$-expansion of $\pi_{\beta}(s)$.
A transducer is said to be letter-to-letter if the edges are labelled by couples of letters.
Let $C=\{0, \ldots, c\}$, where $c$ is an integer $\geq 1$. Show that normalization $\nu_{C}$ is a function computable by a finite letter-to-letter transducer if and only if the set

$$
Z(\beta, c)=\left\{s=\left(s_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}\left|s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z},\left|s_{i}\right| \leq c, \sum_{i \geq 0} s_{i} \beta^{-i}=0\right\}\right.
$$
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is recognizable by a finite automaton.
2. Prove that the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) normalization $\nu_{C}: C^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a function computable by a finite letter-to-letter transducer on any alphabet $C$ of nonnegative integers
(ii) $\nu_{A^{\prime}}: A^{\prime \mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow A^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $A^{\prime}=\{0, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor+1\}$, is a function computable by a finite letter-to-letter transducer
(iii) $\beta$ is a Pisot number.

## Section 7.3

**7.3.1 (See Hollander 1998) Let $U$ be a linear recurrent sequence of integers such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(u_{n+1} / u_{n}\right)=\beta$ for real $\beta>1$.

1. Prove that if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is not finite nor eventually periodic then $L(U)$ is not recognizable by a finite automaton.
2. If $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic, $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{N}\left(t_{N+1} \cdots t_{N+p}\right)^{\omega}$, set

$$
B(X)=X^{N+p}-\sum_{i=1}^{N+p} t_{i} X^{N+p-i}-X^{N}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_{i} X^{N-i}
$$

Similarly, if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite, $d_{\beta}(1)=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$, set

$$
B(X)=X^{m}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} X^{m-i}
$$

Note that $B(X)$ is dependent on the choice of $N$ and $p$ (or $m$ ). Any such polynomial is called an extended beta polynomial for $\beta$. Prove that (i) If $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic, then $L(U)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton if and only if $U$ satisfies an extended beta polynomial for $\beta$.
(ii) If $d_{\beta}(1)$ is finite, then

- if $U$ satisfies an extended beta polynomial for $\beta$ then $L(U)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton
- if $L(U)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton then $U$ satisfies a polynomial of the form $\left(X^{m}-1\right) B(X)$ where $B(X)$ is an extended polynomial for $\beta$ and $m$ is the length of $d_{\beta}(1)$.

Section 7.4
7.4.1 1. Show that every Gaussian integer can be uniquely represented using base 3 and digit set $A=\{\overline{1}, 0,1\}+i\{\overline{1}, 0,1\}=\{0,1,-1, i,-i, 1+i, 1-$ $i,-1+i,-1-i\}$. If each digit is written in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
0={ }_{0}^{0}, 1={ }_{0}^{1},-1={ }_{0}^{\overline{1}}, i={ }_{1}^{0},-i=\frac{0}{\overline{1}} \\
1+i={ }_{1}^{1}, 1-i=\frac{1}{1},-1+i={ }_{1}^{\overline{1}},-1-i=\frac{\overline{1}}{\overline{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

then for any representation the top row represents the real part and the bottom row is the imaginary part. Every complex number is representable.
2. Show that every complex number can be represented using base 2 and the same digit set $A$, but that the representation of a Gaussian integer is not unique.
7.4.2 Prove that every Gaussian integer has a unique representation of the form $d_{k} \cdots d_{0} \cdot d_{-1}$ in base $\beta= \pm b i$, where $b$ is an integer $\geq 2$, and the digits $d_{j}$ are elements of $A=\left\{0, \ldots, b^{2}-1\right\}$. Every complex number is representable. (See Knuth 1988).
7.4.3 Show that every complex number can be represented using base 2 and digit set $A=\left\{0,1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}, \zeta^{3}\right\}$, where $\zeta=\exp (2 i \pi / 4)$. These representations are called polygonal representations. (See Duprat, Herreros, and Kla 1993).
7.4.4 Let $\beta$ be a complex number of modulus $>1$, and let $A$ be a finite digit set containing 0 . Let $W$ be the set of fractional parts of complex numbers, $W=\left\{\sum_{j>1} d_{j} \beta^{-j} \mid d_{j} \in A\right\}$.

1. Show that $W$ is the only compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\beta W=W+A$. 2. Show that if the set $W$ is a neighborhood of zero, then every complex number has a representation with digits in $A$.
7.4.5 Let $\beta$ be a complex number of modulus $>1$, and let $A$ be a finite digit set containing 0 . An infinite sequence $\left(d_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly proper representation of a number $z=\sum_{j \geq 1} d_{j} \beta^{-j}$ if it is the greatest in the lexicographic order of all the representations of $z$ with digits in A. It is weakly proper if each finite truncation is strictly proper. Let $W=\left\{\sum_{j \geq 1} d_{j} \beta^{-j} \mid d_{j} \in A\right\}$. Show that, if $\beta$ is a complex Pisot number, the set of weakly proper representations of elements of $W$ is recognizable by a finite automaton. (See Thurston 1989, Kenyon 1992, Petronio 1994).
*7.4.6 Representation of algebraic number fields. (See Gilbert 1981, 1994, Kátai and Kovacs 1981).
Let $\beta$ be an algebraic integer of modulus $>1$, and let $A$ be a finite set of elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ containing zero. We say that $(\beta, A)$ is an integral numeration system for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ if every element of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ has a unique integer representation of the form $d_{k} \cdots d_{0}$ with $d_{j}$ in $A$.
2. Let $P(X)=X^{m}+p_{m-1} X^{m-1}+\cdots+p_{0}$ be the minimal polynomial of $\beta$. The norm of $\beta$ is $N(\beta)=\left|p_{0}\right|$. Show that a complete residue system of elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ modulo $\beta$ is the set $\{0, \ldots, N(\beta)-1\}$.
3. Suppose that every element of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ has a representation in $(\beta, A)$. Prove that this representation is unique if and only if $A$ is a complete residue system for $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ modulo $\beta$, that contains zero.
4. Suppose that $(\beta, A)$ is an integral numeration system. Show that every element of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ has a representation in $(\beta, A)$.
5. Show that $(\beta, A)$ is an integral numeration system if and only if $\beta$ and all its conjugates have moduli greater than 1 and there is no positive
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integer $q$ for which

$$
d_{q-1} \beta^{q-1}+\cdots+d_{0} \equiv 0\left(\bmod \beta^{q}-1\right)
$$

with $d_{j}$ in $A$ for $0 \leq j \leq q$.
5. Now suppose that $\beta$ is a quadratic algebraic integer, and let $A=$ $\left\{0, \ldots,\left|p_{0}\right|-1\right\}$. Prove that $(\beta, A)$ is an integral numeration system for $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ if and only if $p_{0} \geq 2$ and $-1 \leq p_{1} \leq p_{0}$.

## Notes

Concerning the representation of numbers in classical or less classical numeration systems, there is always something to learn in Knuth 1988. Representation in integral base with signed digits was popularized in computer arithmetic by Avizienis (1961) and can be found earlier in a work of Cauchy (1840).

We have not presented here $p$-adic numeration, nor the representation of real numbers by their continued fraction expansions (see Chapter 2 for this last topic).

The notion of beta-expansion is due to Rényi (1957). Its properties were essentially set up by Parry (1960), in particular Theorem 7.2.9. Coded systems were introduced by Blanchard and Hansel (1986). The result on the entropy of the $\beta$-shift is due to Ito and Takahashi (1974). The links between the $\beta$ expansion of 1 and the nature of the $\beta$-shift are exposed in Ito and Takahashi 1974 and in Bertrand-Mathis 1986. Connections with Pisot numbers are to be found in Bertrand 1977 and Schmidt 1980. It is also known that normalization in base $\beta$ is computable by a finite transducer on any alphabet if and only if $\beta$ is a Pisot number, see Problem 7.2.3. If $\beta$ is a Salem number of degree 4 then $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic, see Boyd 1989. It is an open problem for degree $\geq 6$. Perron numbers are introduced in Lind 1984. There is a survey on the relations between beta-expansions and symbolic dynamics by Blanchard (1989). In Solomyak 1994 and in Flatto, Lagarias, and Poonen 1994 is proved the following property: if $d_{\beta}(1)$ is eventually periodic, then the algebraic conjugates of $\beta$ have modulus strictly less than the golden ratio. Beta-expansions also appear in the mathematical description of quasicrystals, see Gazeau 1995.

The representation of integers with respect to a sequence $U$ is introduced in Fraenkel 1985. The fact that, if $L(U)$ is recognizable by a finite automaton, then the sequence $U$ is linearly recurrent is due to Shallit (1994). We follow the proof of Loraud (1995). The converse problem is treated by Hollander 1998, see Problem 7.3.3. Canonical numeration systems associated with a number $\beta$ come from Bertrand-Mathis (1989). Normalization in linear numeration systems linked with Pisot numbers is studied in Frougny 1992, Frougny and Solomyak 1996, and with the use of congruential techniques, in Bruyère and Hansel 1997. Moreover, if the sequence $U$ has a characteristic polynomial which is the minimal polynomial of a Perron number which is not Pisot, then normalization cannot be computed by a finite transducer on every alphabet (Frougny and Solomyak 1996).

A famous result on sets of natural numbers recognized by finite automata is the theorem of Cobham (1969). Let $k$ be an integer $\geq 2$. A set $X$ of positive integers is said to be $k$-recognizable if the set of $k$-representations of numbers of $X$ is recognizable by a finite automaton. Two numbers $k$ and $l$ are said to be multiplicatively independent if there exist no positive integers $p$ and $q$ such that $k^{p}=l^{q}$. Cobham's Theorem then states: If $X$ is a set of integers which is both $k$-recognizable and $l$-recognizable in two multiplicatively independent bases $k$ and $l$, then $X$ is eventually periodic. There is a multidimensional version of Cobham's Theorem due to Semenov (1977). Original proofs of these two results are difficult, and several other proofs have been given, some of them using logic (see Michaux and Villemaire 1996). There are many works on generalizations of Cobham and Semenov theorems (see Fabre 1994, Bruyère and Hansel 1997, Point and Bruyère 1997, Fagnot 1997, Hansel 1998). In Durand 1998 there is a version of the Cobham theorem in terms of substitutions. We give now one result related to the concepts exposed in Section 7.3. Let $U$ be an increasing sequence of integers. A set $X$ of positive integers is $U$-recognizable if the set of normal $U$-representations of numbers of $X$ is recognizable by a finite automaton. Let $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ be two multiplicatively independent Pisot numbers, and let $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ be two linear numeration systems whose characteristic polynomial is the minimal polynomial of $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ respectively. For every $n \geq 1$, if $X \subset \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is $U$ and $U^{\prime}$-recognizable then $X$ is definable in $\langle\mathbb{N},+\rangle$ (Bès 2000). When $n=1$, the result says that $X$ is eventually periodic.

Theorem 7.4.3 on bases of the form $-n \pm i, n$ integer $\geq 1$ is due to Kátai and Szabó (1975). There is a more algorithmic proof, as well as results on the sum-of-digits function for base $\beta=-1+i$, in Grabner et al. 1998 Normalization in complex base is studied in Safer 1998. Theorem 7.4.7 appeared in Thurston 1989, as well as the result on complex Pisot bases presented in Problem 7.4.5. Representation of complex numbers in imaginary quadratic fields is studied in Kátai 1994. We have not discussed here beta-automatic sequences. Results on these topics can be found in Allouche et al. 1997, particularly for the case $\beta=-1+i$.

The numeration in complex base is strongly related to fractals and tilings. Self-similar tilings of the plane in relation with complex Pisot bases are discussed in Thurston 1989, Kenyon 1992 and Petronio 1994. In Gilbert 1986, the fractal dimension of tiles obtained in some bases such as $-n+i$ is computed. A general survey has been written by Bandt (1991).
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