VPSPACE and a transfer theorem over the reals Algebraic versions of the question "P = PSPACE?"

Pascal Koiran Sylvain Perifel

LIP, ENS Lyon

Aachen, February 23rd, 2007

Introduction

► Decision problems Languages (over ℝ), Blum-Shub-Smale model Example: decide whether a multivariate polynomial has a real root (NP_ℝ-complete)

Introduction

► Decision problems Languages (over ℝ), Blum-Shub-Smale model Example: decide whether a multivariate polynomial has a real root (NP_ℝ-complete)

 Evaluation problems
 Families of polynomials, Valiant's model
 Example: compute the permanent of a matrix (VNP-complete)

Outline

- 1. P and PSPACE (boolean case)
- 2. P and PSPACE in BSS model
- 3. P and PSPACE in Valiant's model
- 4. Sign condition
- 5. An orthogonal vector

if VP = VPSPACE then $P_{\mathbb{R}} = PAR_{\mathbb{R}}$

P and PSPACE (boolean case)

- P: languages over {0,1} recognized in polynomial time by a Turing machine.
- PSPACE: languages over {0,1} recognized in polynomial space by a Turing machine.

P and PSPACE (boolean case)

- P: languages over {0,1} recognized in polynomial time by a Turing machine.
- PSPACE: languages over {0,1} recognized in polynomial space by a Turing machine.
- ▶ Turing machines \longleftrightarrow boolean circuits (gates \land , \lor , \neg).
- Language recognition: one circuit per input length.

P and PSPACE (boolean case)

- P: languages over {0,1} recognized in polynomial time by a Turing machine.
- PSPACE: languages over {0,1} recognized in polynomial space by a Turing machine.
- ▶ Turing machines \longleftrightarrow boolean circuits (gates \land , \lor , \neg).
- Language recognition: one circuit per input length.
- P: languages recognized by boolean circuits of polynomial size (+ uniformity).
- PSPACE: languages recognized by boolean circuits of polynomial *depth* (of possibly exponential size) (+ uniformity).

$\mathrm{P}\xspace$ and $\mathrm{PSPACE}\xspace$ in BSS model

- Algebraic circuits: gates +, -, \times and \leq .
- Languages over ℝ: sets of words over the alphabet ℝ, that is, A ⊆ ∪_{n≥0}ℝⁿ.
- ► Language recognition over **R**: one circuit per input length.

- Algebraic circuits: gates +, -, \times and \leq .
- Languages over ℝ: sets of words over the alphabet ℝ, that is, A ⊆ ∪_{n≥0}ℝⁿ.
- ▶ Language recognition over ℝ: one circuit per input length.
- ▶ P_ℝ: languages over ℝ recognized by algebraic circuits of polynomial size (+ uniformity).

- Algebraic circuits: gates +, -, \times and \leq .
- Languages over ℝ: sets of words over the alphabet ℝ, that is, A ⊆ ∪_{n≥0}ℝⁿ.
- ▶ Language recognition over ℝ: one circuit per input length.
- ▶ P_ℝ: languages over ℝ recognized by algebraic circuits of polynomial size (+ uniformity).
- ▶ PAR_R: languages over R recognized by algebraic circuits of polynomial *depth* (of possibly exponential size) (+ uniformity).

$\mathrm{P}\xspace$ and $\mathrm{PSPACE}\xspace$ in Valiant's model

- ► Arithmetic circuits: gates +, and ×, inputs x₁,..., x_n and constant 1 → multivariate polynomial with integer coefficients.
- Family of polynomials (f_n) : one circuit C_n per polynomial $f_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_{u(n)}]$.

$\mathrm{P}\xspace$ and $\mathrm{PSPACE}\xspace$ in Valiant's model

- ► Arithmetic circuits: gates +, and ×, inputs x₁,..., x_n and constant 1 → multivariate polynomial with integer coefficients.
- ▶ Family of polynomials (f_n) : one circuit C_n per polynomial $f_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_{u(n)}].$
- VP: families of polynomials computed by arithmetic circuits of polynomial size (+ uniformity).

 $(= \text{Uniform VP}_{nb}^{0})$

$\mathrm{P}\xspace$ and $\mathrm{PSPACE}\xspace$ in Valiant's model

- ► Arithmetic circuits: gates +, and ×, inputs x₁,..., x_n and constant 1 → multivariate polynomial with integer coefficients.
- Family of polynomials (f_n) : one circuit C_n per polynomial $f_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_{u(n)}]$.
- VP: families of polynomials computed by arithmetic circuits of polynomial size (+ uniformity).

 $(= \text{Uniform VP}_{nb}^{0})$

VPSPACE: families of polynomials computed by arithmetic circuits of polynomial *depth* (+ uniformity).

Recapitulation

- Decision problems over {0,1}: boolean circuits (gates ∧, ∨ et ¬).
- Decision problems over ℝ (BSS): algebraic circuits (gates +, -, ×, ≤).
- Evaluation problems (Valiant): arithmetic circuits (gates +, -, ×).

Recapitulation

- ▶ Decision problems over {0,1}: boolean circuits (gates ∧, ∨ et ¬).
- ▶ Decision problems over ℝ (BSS): algebraic circuits (gates +, -, ×, ≤).
- Evaluation problems (Valiant): arithmetic circuits (gates +, -, ×).
- P: circuits of polynomial size.
- ▶ PSPACE: circuits of polynomial depth.

Other characterizations of VPSPACE

Original definition: coefficient function in PSPACE.

$$f_n(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\alpha} a(\alpha) \bar{x}^{\alpha}$$

Function $a: \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ computable bit by bit in polynomial space.

Original definition: coefficient function in PSPACE.

$$f_n(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\alpha} a(\alpha) \bar{x}^{\alpha}$$

Function $a: \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ computable bit by bit in polynomial space.

 Poizat: circuits of polynomial size endowed with exponential summation gates Original definition: coefficient function in PSPACE.

$$f_n(\bar{x}) = \sum_{lpha} a(lpha) \bar{x}^{lpha}$$

Function $a: \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ computable bit by bit in polynomial space.

 Poizat: circuits of polynomial size endowed with exponential summation gates or gates of evaluation at 0 and 1. ► Original definition: coefficient function in PSPACE.

$$f_n(\bar{x}) = \sum_{lpha} a(lpha) \bar{x}^{lpha}$$

Function $a: \{0, 1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ computable bit by bit in polynomial space.

- Poizat: circuits of polynomial size endowed with exponential summation gates or gates of evaluation at 0 and 1.
- Example: multivariate resultant of a system of polynomials.

If VPSPACE = VP then $PAR_{\mathbb{R}} = P_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Outline of the proof:

- ▶ Goal: for $A \in PAR_{\mathbb{R}}$, decide in polynomial time whether $\bar{x} \in A$.
- Find the sign condition of \bar{x}

Simulate the circuit on this sign condition.

If VPSPACE = VP then $PAR_{\mathbb{R}} = P_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Outline of the proof:

- ▶ Goal: for $A \in PAR_{\mathbb{R}}$, decide in polynomial time whether $\bar{x} \in A$.
- Find the sign condition of \bar{x}
 - enumeration of the satisfiable sign conditions (Renegar);
 - binary search (orthogonal vector).
- Simulate the circuit on this sign condition.

Polynomials tested by a circuit

Test gate: $f(\bar{x}) \leq 0$?

If the results of the preceding tests are fixed, *f* is a polynomial.

 \rightarrow enumeration of all possible polynomials (polynomial space): family f_1, \ldots, f_s .



Polynomials tested by a circuit

Test gate: $f(\bar{x}) \leq 0$?

If the results of the preceding tests are fixed, *f* is a polynomial.

 \rightarrow enumeration of all possible polynomials (polynomial space): family f_1, \ldots, f_s .



Sign conditions

- ▶ Sign condition $S \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^s$: sign of the polynomials f_1, \ldots, f_s .
- Sign condition of \bar{x} : $(\operatorname{sign}(f_1(\bar{x})), \ldots, \operatorname{sign}(f_s(\bar{x})))$.

Sign conditions

- ► Sign condition S ∈ {−1, 0, 1}^s: sign of the polynomials f₁,..., f_s.
- Sign condition of \bar{x} : $(\operatorname{sign}(f_1(\bar{x})), \ldots, \operatorname{sign}(f_s(\bar{x})))$.
- If x̄ and ȳ have the same sign condition then every test gives the same result → x̄ and ȳ are simultaneously in the language or outside of the language.
- It is enough to study the sign condition (boolean object).

Satisfiable sign conditions

- Sign condition S ∈ {−1, 0, 1}^s: sign of the polynomials f₁,..., f_s.
- A sign condition is not necessarily satisfiable.
- Example: $x^2 + 1$ always yields 1 (always positive over \mathbb{R}).

Satisfiable sign conditions

- ► Sign condition S ∈ {−1, 0, 1}^s: sign of the polynomials f₁,..., f_s.
- A sign condition is not necessarily satisfiable.
- Example: $x^2 + 1$ always yields 1 (always positive over \mathbb{R}).

Theorem (Thom-Milnor 1964, Grigoriev 1988, Renegar 1992)

- There are N = (sd)^{O(n)} satisfiable sign conditions (s: number of polynomials, n: number of variables, d: max degree).
- ▶ Satisfiable sign conditions can be enumerated in PSPACE.

- We first want to distinguish only between = 0 and $\neq 0$.
- Linear order compatible with inclusion on satisfiable sign conditions:

- We first want to distinguish only between = 0 and $\neq 0$.
- Linear order compatible with inclusion on satisfiable sign conditions:



Search of the minimal satisfiable sign condition S satisfying

$$\forall k \leq s, S_k = 0 \Longrightarrow f_k(\bar{x}) = 0.$$

- We first want to distinguish only between = 0 and $\neq 0$.
- Linear order compatible with inclusion on satisfiable sign conditions:



Search of the minimal satisfiable sign condition S satisfying

$$\forall k \leq s, S_k = 0 \Longrightarrow f_k(\bar{x}) = 0$$

$$\prod_{j\leq i} \left(\sum_{S_k^{(j)}=0} f_k(\bar{x})^2\right) = 0 \ (\text{true iff } S\leq i)$$

- We first want to distinguish only between = 0 and $\neq 0$.
- Linear order compatible with inclusion on satisfiable sign conditions:



Search of the minimal satisfiable sign condition S satisfying

$$\forall k \leq s, S_k = 0 \Longrightarrow f_k(\bar{x}) = 0$$

$$\prod_{j\leq i} \left(\sum_{S_k^{(j)}=0} f_k(\bar{x})^2\right) = 0 \ (\text{true iff } S\leq i)$$

- We first want to distinguish only between = 0 and $\neq 0$.
- Linear order compatible with inclusion on satisfiable sign conditions:



Search of the minimal satisfiable sign condition S satisfying

$$\forall k \leq s, S_k = 0 \Longrightarrow f_k(\bar{x}) = 0$$

$$\prod_{j\leq i} \left(\sum_{S_k^{(j)}=0} f_k(\bar{x})^2\right) = 0 \ (\text{true iff } S\leq i)$$

- We first want to distinguish only between = 0 and $\neq 0$.
- Linear order compatible with inclusion on satisfiable sign conditions:



Search of the minimal satisfiable sign condition S satisfying

$$\forall k \leq s, S_k = 0 \Longrightarrow f_k(\bar{x}) = 0$$

$$\prod_{j\leq i} \left(\sum_{S_k^{(j)}=0} f_k(\bar{x})^2\right) = 0 \ (\text{true iff } S\leq i)$$

- Partial sign condition is known: we know which polynomials vanish. We are now looking for the sign of the others.
- There is no natural order in which the sign condition would be a maximum.
- Candidates will be eliminated step by step.

- ▶ New convention: 0 for positive and 1 for negative.
- "Inner product" over $\{0,1\}^s$: $u.v = \sum_{i=1}^s u_i v_i \mod 2$.
- ▶ Let S be the sign condition of \bar{x} . Let $u \in \{0,1\}^{s}$. We have:

$$u.S = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \prod_{i|u_i=1} f_i(\bar{x}) < 0$$

- ▶ New convention: 0 for positive and 1 for negative.
- "Inner product" over $\{0,1\}^s$: $u.v = \sum_{i=1}^s u_i v_i \mod 2$.
- Let S be the sign condition of \bar{x} . Let $u \in \{0,1\}^{s}$. We have:

$$u.S = 1 \iff \prod_{i|u_i=1} f_i(\bar{x}) < 0$$

 If u is orthogonal to roughly half the satisfiable sign conditions then we have "eliminated" roughly half of the candidates.

 — Logarithmic number of repetitions.

▶ Problem: given is a family of vectors S⁽¹⁾,...,S^(k) ∈ {0,1}^s. Find a vector orthogonal to roughly half of the vectors S⁽ⁱ⁾.

- ▶ Problem: given is a family of vectors S⁽¹⁾,...,S^(k) ∈ {0,1}^s. Find a vector orthogonal to roughly half of the vectors S⁽ⁱ⁾.
- Grigoriev 1998: there always exists a vector orthogonal to at least k/3 and at most 2k/3 vectors. Nonconstructive.

- ▶ Problem: given is a family of vectors S⁽¹⁾,...,S^(k) ∈ {0,1}^s. Find a vector orthogonal to roughly half of the vectors S⁽ⁱ⁾.
- Grigoriev 1998: there always exists a vector orthogonal to at least k/3 and at most 2k/3 vectors. Nonconstructive.
- Charbit, Jeandel, Koiran, Perifel, Thomassé 2006:
 - a random vector → interval [k/2 √k; k/2 + √k] with probability 3/4 (Chebyshev's inequality, still nonconstructive);

- ▶ Problem: given is a family of vectors S⁽¹⁾,...,S^(k) ∈ {0,1}^s. Find a vector orthogonal to roughly half of the vectors S⁽ⁱ⁾.
- Grigoriev 1998: there always exists a vector orthogonal to at least k/3 and at most 2k/3 vectors. Nonconstructive.
- Charbit, Jeandel, Koiran, Perifel, Thomassé 2006:
 - a random vector → interval [k/2 √k; k/2 + √k] with probability 3/4 (Chebyshev's inequality, still nonconstructive);
 - it can be derandomized in parallel (hence logarithmic space).

Recapitulation

In order to show that $\mathrm{VPSPACE} = \mathrm{VP} \Rightarrow \mathrm{PAR}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$:

- For $A \in PAR_{\mathbb{R}}$ we want to decide in polynomial time whether $\bar{x} \in A$.
- We enumerate all the polynomials possibly tested in the cricuit (polynomial space).
- ► Thanks to VPSPACE tests, a binary search gives the partial sign condition of *x*.
- In order to find the complete sign condition of \bar{x} :
 - ▶ we are back on {0, 1};
 - thanks to the orthogonal vector and VPSPACE tests, we eliminate at each step half of the candidate sign conditions.

Once the sign condition of x̄ is obtained, we can simulate the circuit and conclude.

- Study of the question P = PSPACE in different contexts (boolean, BSS, Valiant).
- Similar results over C but different techniques: a variety requires more than one equation (unlike over ℝ where we can make sums of squares).
- ► Converse? Over C, Nullstellensatz ⇒ work only up to a multiple.

Outline

- 1. P and PSPACE (boolean case)
- 2. $\rm P$ and $\rm PSPACE$ in BSS model
- 3. $\rm P$ and $\rm PSPACE$ in Valiant's model
- 4. Sign condition
- 5. An orthogonal vector